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## PREFACE

Although the 92 medical schools associated with universities in the United States and Canada are reputed to pose special problems for universities which have them, no study of the relationship of a university to its medical school is available. This report attempts to provide a background for understanding the interactions which occur and the problems which arise as a result of incorporating an academic medical center into the campus life of Stanford University.

The report is divided into three sections. The first section is a brief introduction to the history of the relationship of universities and medical schools in Western Europe, the United States, and at Stanford University. The second section explores the relationships which occur in the areas which are common to the medical school and the balance of the university, i.e., teaching of registered students, research, and university service. The third section presents the problems that the university and the medical school face in their relationship with particular emphasis on those arising from providing patient care as an integral part of the academic program of the medical school. The immediate effect of this patient care responsibility on the faculty and administration of the medical school and its subsequent impact on the university are explored.

The opportunity to develop this document was provided by the University Fellows Program and the Ford Foundation. When appropriate, and insofar as possible, data will be presented either within the text or in the appendix to document the type, extent, and magnitude of the interactions between the university and the medical school and the factors which influence them. While most of the data presented are current, when current information is not available, data from previous years are used when they could provide some insight into the interaction involved. The data developed for this document are collated from multiple sources throughout the university and medical center and where possible those sources are cited.

In addition to the reference documents, faculty members and administrators in the university community both within and outside of the medical school were
interviewed and the author would like to take this opportunity to thank those interviewed as well as those who contributed specific data (Appendix A). The data were collated and initial drafts of the report prepared in late 1975. The project was larger and more complex than initially envisioned and the final manuscript was completed in August, 1976.

The author's relationship to Stanford University and the medical center began 43 years ago and has passed through the stages of professor's child, undergraduate student, medical student, resident physician, faculty member, and director of the diagnostic division of the department of radiology. Curiosity about the relationships between the university and the academic medical center grew naturally out of this long relationship.

William H. Northway, Jr., M.D.<br>Associate Professor of Radiology Director, Division of Diagnostic Radiology Associate Chairman, Department of Radiology Stanford University School of Medicine University Fellow, 1972-75

I. THE UNIVERSITY AND THE MEDICAL SCHOOL: HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE
A. EUROPEAN MEDICAL EDUCATION (Ref. 1, 2, 3)

The medical school which flourished at Salerno, Italy, in the 10th Century and the university which developed from it began before there was a faculty of law at Bologna or theology at Paris and represented a significant point in the relationship of medical schools and universities. Since the development of the school of medicine at Salerno, all continental medical schools have been part of a university. Medicine developed, however, as a traditional craft and apprenticeship or preceptorship was the traditional mode of education. The university-educated doctors formed only a very small percentage of the practitioners of the healing art. Paris had only six such doctors in 1296 and only 32 in 1395. These doctors were secure and did not depend on fees, since they were either clergy or city appointed, but they could not provide medical care for the general population.

University medicine, however, represented the best of medicine at most times and in most places from the era of Salerno onward. The university provided the framework within which a more effective type of medical education could be developed. It was generally true of medieval universities that the substratum of all things was the group of disciplines called the liberal arts. A study of liberal arts was everywhere a prerequisite for admission to the study of medicine. The connection between the arts and medicine increased from the 13th Century on as the biological works of Aristotle began to play a great role in the arts faculties. Medieval and renaissance medical faculties had the same goals as university faculties, that is, the recovery of ancient knowledge and scholarship. At 0xford, where medicine can be clearly separated from the other faculties in about the 14th Century, almost all students and teachers of medicine were theologians and followed a long course of study in the faculty of the arts. At that time the medical school at Oxford was an integral and coordinate part of the university. In the early university era there were professors of practice and professors of the theory of medicine. Yet as early as 1389 in Vienna visiting the sick in the company of a member of the faculty for one year was a requirement for a medical degree. This was also true at Freiberg
and at Basle. The practical character of medicine had imposed itself on the purely scholastic pattern of university teaching in at least a few universities as early as the 14 th Century. In continental Europe medical schools were university oriented; while in 18th Century England they became hospital oriented. Although the dividing line between learned medicine and practical medicine grew sharply as a result, a genuine respect for medical learning persisted. This was fostered by the development of new anatomy in the 16th Century, new physiology in the 17th Century, and the growth of pathology in the 18th Century.

At Goettingen, the 18th Century university professors of medicine first received official encouragement to undertake research. Yet the medicine of the hospital and the practitioner was still to enjoy its greatest triumph in the early 19th Century in the school of Paris. In the reform of the German universities, however, Wilhelm von Humboldt saw no other setting than the campus of a university for the medical school. It was in Germany then that science and medicine were joined firmly to the universities and the university-connected hospitals and that research was infused into medical education.

## B. AMERICAN MEDICAL EDUCATION (Ref. 1, 2, 3, 4)

At the same time that German medical education was attaining new heights, American medical education was reaching its nadir. The deficiencies of the proprietary medical schools in America were evident before the middle of the 19th Century. Realization of these deficiencies played a major role in the establishment of the American Medical Association, in the beginnings of the American Medical College Association which was revived in 1890 as the Association of American Medical Colleges, and in the preparation of the report by Abraham Flexner on medical schools in 1910. Flexner took Johns Hopkins as the model for medical education and urged the provision of fulltime staff, laboratory, and hospital facilities so that teaching and research could be combined. He endorsed medical education as a university function. The report named and described conditions in the nation's medical schools and it resulted in the merging or closing of 102 schools by 1920. Five years after publication of the report, 85 schools required a
minimum of one or two years of college preparation; ten years earlier only five schools had required any college preparation for admission. The Flexner report was strong but effective medicine.

Pressures for better quality medical care have taken medical education from the single preceptor, to the hospital and bedside, and to a partnership with the university. As noted by the medical historian Stevenson:

In the partnership between university and medical school, the quality of each participant is affected in a large measure by the quality of the other...If the objectives of university and medical school are essentially the same--as they were during the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries in Paris, Montpellier, Padua, and Oxford, or during the nineteenth century in Berlin, Leipzig; Munich, and Vienna-all is well, and more than well, with both. But if, as in early nineteenth century 0xford the pace and purpose of university education are widely different from those the times require of medicine, the association will be less than happy and less than fruitful. (Ref.1)
C. STANFORD UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE (Ref. 5, 6, 7)

The school of medicine which was to become Stanford University School of Medicine evolved from the first medical school on the Pacific Coast founded in San Francisco by Dr. Elia Samuel Cooper, M.D. in 1858. It was academically chartered as the Medical Department of the University of the Pacific. In 1870, the school was reorganized by Dr. Levi Cooper Lane and in 1872 severed connections with the University of the Pacific and became the medical department of the University (City) College, San Francisco. This medical department was known formally as the Medical College of the Pacific. In October, 1882, the Medical College of the Pacific, with its faculty and its students intact, became Cooper Medical College. The new school was founded by Dr. Lane in memory of his uncle and occupied a building erected by Lane for this purpose at the corner of Sacramento and Webster streets in San Francisco. A new hospital was erected adjacent to the medical school in 1893. Following the death of Dr. Lane in 1902 the library of medicine and surgery was created in 1906.

Medicine has commonly formed a part of subsequent expansion of universities and this also occurred at Stanford. In November, 1908, Cooper Medical College, its properties and equipment were granted to Leland Stanford Junior University by the board of directors of the Cooper Medical College Corporation. The president of Stanford University at that time was Dr. David Starr Jordan. On January 1, 1911, Dr. Ray Lyman Wilbur became dean of the medical department faculty and on January 22, 1916, Dr. Wilbur became university president. In July, 1953, Stanford's board of trustees made the decision to move the medical school from San Francisco to the university campus near Palo Alto, where new buildings were to be constructed. In June, 1957, first construction activity was begun for the Stanford Medical Center, with excavation for the Palo Alto-Stanford Hospital. Dr. Robert Alway was named dean in February, 1958, and in August, 1959, the first patients were admitted to the Palo Alto-Stanford Hospital. In September, 1959, the first medical school classes began in the new medical school buildings on campus.

In the forward to the booklet "Medical Care, the University, and Society," (Ref. 7) J. E. Wallace Sterling, president of the university at the time of the move to campus, stated:

> Central to this new Stanford program is the concept that the future progress of the medical sciences is inextricably linked with progress in the basic physical and biological sciences and increasingly with progress in the social sciences. It followed that the Medical School should be so located and organized as to promote the closest possible relationship between teachers, investigators, and students in all these fields. It followed also that opportunities for enriching the general education of the medical student would be greater if the Medical School became physically and philosophically an integral part of the University.

## II. THE UNIVERSITY ENVIRONMENT

Of the 117 medical schools in the United States and Canada, 92 are university affiliated. Of these only 28 are based on the main campus of the parent university. The major benefit in moving the medical school from San Francisco to the Stanford campus was felt to be the bringing of medical education more directly into a university environment. Development of closer relationships with the humanities and sciences as well as the other professional schools, it was hoped, would broaden the educational program at the medical school and introduce a flow of attitudes, knowledge, and values of medicine into the education of other students. As noted by the medical historian Stevenson, when the goals of the university and the medical school coincide, strong mutually beneficial interaction can result. The goals of teaching, research, and university service are common to all schools of the university while patient care is not. The relationship of the medical school to the rest of the university in the areas common to each will be explored first.

## A. TEACHING

The medical school has teaching responsibilities which extend beyond students registered at Stanford University. These include the teaching of interns, residents, research and clinical fellows, and practicing physicians who need and desire continuing educational courses both in basic science and clinical medicine.

This section deals only with those students registered at Stanford University. These include undergraduate and medical students, as well as candidates for Master's and Ph.D. degrees. The total number of students at the university in 1974-75 and their distribution is summarized below (and described in greater detail in Tables 1 and 2):

| School | Enrollment* |
| :--- | :---: |
| Business | 681 |
| Earth Sciences | 232 |
| Education | 561 |
| Engineering | 2,139 |
| Humanities \& Sciences | 4,919 |
| Law | 429 |
| Medicine | 549 |
| Unaffiliated \& inter-school | 110 |
| programs | $\underline{2,629}$ |
| Major undeclared | 12,249 |

The distribution of students at the medical school is as follows (see Tables 1 and 2 for additional information):

## Enrollment*

Biochemistry23
Genetics ..... 9
Health Services Administration ..... 7
Hearing \& Speech Sciences ..... 7
Medical Microbiology** ..... 46
Neuro- \& Biobehavioral Sciences ..... 27
Pharmacology ..... 10
Physical Therapy ..... 43
Physiology ..... 3M.D. Program374549

It is interesting to note that, while a medical school is usually regarded as a school primarily for the training of physicians, in 1974-75, the Stanford Medical School granted 65 non-M.D. degrees (11 A.B./B.S. degrees, 40 A.M./M.S. degrees, and 14 Ph.D. degrees) and 81 M.D. degrees (Table 3).

* Undergraduate, graduate, and terminal graduate students.
(Postdoctoral fellows were not registered as students until 1975-76.)
** Only program with undergraduate enrollment (27 students).

The teaching effort of the medical school faculty with respect to university-registered, non-medical-school-based students occurs both in courses taught at the medical school and in those taught outside the medical school.* In 1973-74, a total of 17,718 units were acquired by such students in courses taught by medical school faculty ( 3,455 in medical school courses and 14,263 in courses outside the medical school). For comparison, students in the medical school acquired a total of 22,500 units in courses taught by medical school faculty during 1973-74.

Assuming a standard of 45 units of course work a year for a full-time student, the medical school faculty taught the equivalent of 77 full-time non-medical-school-based students at the medical school in 1973-74. Fifty-seven percent of the total units were earned by undergraduate and 43 percent by graduate students.** The majority of the units were acquired by students majoring in human biology, biological sciences, and chemistry.** The medical school faculty teaching the courses in which most of the units were obtained were in the departments of biochemistry, medical microbiology, psychiatry and behavioral sciences, anatomy, and family, community, and preventive medicine.**

The equivalent of 317 full-time non-medical-school-based students were taught by medical school faculty in courses based outside of the medical school. Seventy-nine percent of the units were acquired by students enrolled in human biology or psychology department courses taught by medical school faculty. Five percent of the units acquired were in freshman seminars; four percent in biological sciences department courses. The medical school faculty involved in the majority of units taught were in the department of psychiatry and behavioral sciences, genetics, and pediatrics.

[^0]The teaching effort of the university faculty outside of the medical school directed at students registered in the medical school is described in Tables 5 and 7. The university faculty outside of the medical school taught the equivalent of 53 full-time medical-school-based students in 1973-74. Fifty-two percent of the total units were taken by undergraduate and 48 percent by graduate students. Almost all of the undergraduate units were acquired by students majoring in medical microbiology. Only 39 percent of the graduate units were acquired by students registered in the M.D. program. The departments teaching the courses in which most of the undergraduate units were obtained were biological sciences, chemistry, and physics. The departments or schools teaching the courses in which most of the graduate units were obtained were business, biological sciences, and psychology.

Although medical schools generally determine the prerequisites for admission to medical school (and thereby impose a rather awesome undergraduate teaching volume on some departments of the schools of humanities and sciences), it is not customary for medical schools to teach undergraduate students to any significant degree. The data indicate this is not the situation at Stanford.

The most dramatic educational innovation associated with the presence of the medical school on campus is the human biology program. This is an interschool program initiated in 1969 by a grant from the Ford Foundation under the direction of eight senior faculty members from the departments of biological sciences, genetics, pediatrics, psychology, psychiatry and behavioral sciences, and sociology. The program is administered by the dean of undergraduate studies. It was first offered in the spring of 1970 and was immediately popular ( 427 students preregistered) and has continued its popularity probably because of $i$ ts broad view of the evolution of man and his place in nature. In addition to the departments involved in the program's founding, it now also includes faculty from chemistry, civil engineering, economics, food research, medicine, and political science. Twenty-five faculty are involved yet each is based in his regular department. The faculty member's department represents a base for research, writing, and teaching. Since the program relies quite heavily on senior faculty in the medical school and because there are no graduate students or research based in human biology per se, the commitment of the involved
medical faculty to undergraduate teaching is clear.

A less dramatic but significant contribution to undergraduate teaching is made by the department of psychiatry and behavioral sciences outside of the human biology program. These teaching commitments developed on an ad hoc basis as a result of faculty knowledge in subject areas of common interest particularly with the department of psychology and the school of education.

The faculty of the medical school also participates in the freshman seminars, SWOPSI, and SCIRE and a partial listing of the undergraduate offerings and the departments involved is given in Tables 8 and 9. Eighteen of the 87 seminars for entering freshman students offered in 1973-74 were taught by medical school faculty.

Four examples of programs for graduate students where faculty from the medical school join with faculty from departments in other schools of the university to provide both teaching and research opportunities are described below.

The neuro- and biobehavioral sciences program involves the departments of biological sciences and psychology with anatomy, anesthesia, genetics, neurology, pathology, pharmacology, physiology, psychiatry and behavioral sciences, and surgery. The program is designed to lead to a Ph.D. in neuro- and biobehavioral sciences and nonmedical graduate students, post-M.D. students, and medical students are eligible for the program. In the 1974-75 academic year, 38 students were enrolled in the program.

The Master of Science program in Health Services Administration is designed to educate physicians, administrators, engineers, and others in the administrative and analytical skills essential to delivery of health services. The major areas of operation are in health economics, organizational behavior and health sociology, and health policy and planning. The faculty includes members of the schools of business and engineering, the departments of anthropology, communication, economics, engineering-economic systems, industrial engineering, and sociology, and the departments of family, community, and preventive medicine, medicine,
psychiatry and behavioral sciences, and surgery. In the 1974-75 academic year 16 students were enrolled in the program.

The bioengineering program deals with engineering theory and practice applied to improve health care and engineering technique applied to provide insight into the formulation and solution of selected biological problems. This program involves the school of engineering and the departments of computer science and mathematics with the medical school departments of family, community, and preventive medicine, gynecology and obstetrics, neurology, psychiatry and behavioral sciences, radiology, and surgery.

The medical scientist training program is designed to provide selected medical students with specialized training in biomedical research while they are candidates for the M.D. degree. The program emphasizes the wide scope of biomedical research allowing students to develop their interest in interdisciplinary fields. Currently the faculty includes members from the departments of biological sciences, chemistry, computer science, and psychology as well as anatomy, biochemistry, genetics, medical microbiology, medicine, pathology, pediatrics, physiology, psychiatry and behavioral sciences, and radiology. Twenty-seven students were enrolled in the program for 1974-75.

Another graduate interdisciplinary endeavor is the graduate specials program. In 1973-74 there were 10 graduate special program degrees granted. Seven of the 10 incorporated 13 medical faculty on their advisory boards. In the last few years two joint Ph.D.-M.D. degrees have been granted through this program; one in medical information systems and the other in biomedical engineering.

The joint undergraduate teaching and graduate teaching and research programs described in this section have resulted when faculty have common interests. When these common interests are particularly strong they may be recognized not only by cooperative efforts in teaching and research but also by joint appointments in the departments involved. These appointments may be given by departmental courtesy or with full academic review and represent formal recognition of the importance of the academic interaction (Table 10).

## B. RESEARCH

Interaction of the medical school faculty with faculty throughout the rest of the university in research, as in teaching interactions, is a result of mutual interest. Such interactions occur in small seminars, ad hoc consultation, or use of facilities, and in more formal relationships as consultants to funded projects and as co-investigators. Some joint teaching programs such as bioengineering and the medical scientist training program include joint research projects as a basic component of the program. Sponsored scientific research at the university is largely done on an individual basis with little formal interaction even between departments in the same school.

The total sponsored research for the university and for each school for 1973-74 is given in Table 11. The funded sponsored research based in the medical school and involving either a co-investigator or designated consultant in another school in the university is given on Table 12. Eighteen such projects utilize 21 faculty from nine departments in other schools. Fourteen faculty from other schools are principal or coinvestigators and seven are consultants. The departments include physics, chemistry, biological sciences, statistics, chemical engineering, electrical engineering, computer science, communication, and sociology. These projects involved $\$ 4$ million in total costs (direct and indirect). Six proposals for sponsored research at the medical school involving formal interaction with faculty in other schools were submitted but did not receive funding for 1974-75. These projects involved 12 departments and 18 faculty in other schools and while not funded that year, represent significant efforts at cooperation in research (Ref. 8).

This attempt at documentation of interschool research involvement is not complete and underestimates the magnitude of the interaction by an unknown factor. Table 12 does not include funded sponsored projects by medical school faculty with joint appointments in other schools where they were the only investigator. No survey of cooperation in research for sponsored projects not in the files of the sponsored projects office of the medical school or for non-sponsored projects was attempted.

## C. UNIVERSITY SERVICE

University services are those voluntary duties performed by the faculty and staff which are necessary to the functioning of the university within its collegial governance framework. These include such functions as participation on university committees, student advising, participation in university service organizations, aiding in faculty recruiting, and fund raising. Some of these activities are done on an hoc basis (e.g., faculty recruiting and fund raising) and are difficult to document.

## 1. COMMITTEES AND ORGANIZATIONS

A distillate of the roster list of university committees for 1974-75 published by the office of the academic secretary is given in Table 13. This table lists the number of medical school faculty and staff participating on the major university committees and organizations. In addition to the number of faculty and staff participating it is of interest to note the variety of committees involved.

## 2. UNDERGRADUATE ADVISING

Since approximately 18 percent of all Stanford undergraduate students define themselves as "premedical students", approximately 280 in each class (or 1,120 students) require premedical advising. Each of these students has a medical faculty advisor.

There are 319 university advisors for undergraduate students who have not declared majors (approximately 40 percent of the undergraduate students). Of the 319 , 56 or 17 percent are medical school faculty members. Twentynine percent of the remaining advisors are staff members and 54 percent are faculty members outside the school of medicine. Thus the medical faculty who serve as advisors to undergraduate students who have not declared majors represent 24 percent of all faculty who serve as advisors for these students.

The results of the undergraduate premedical advising program are of some interest. A total of 227 Stanford graduates entered a medical school in 1973-74. This was the second highest number of graduates from a single institution admitted to medical school in the United States. The largest number of student graduates admitted to medical school that year was 283 from the University of Michigan. Michigan has an undergraduate junior and senior student population of 10,432 while Stanford has only 3,164. The American Medical College Application Service (AMCAS) figures show that 415 Stanford graduates applied to medical school in 1973-74. Of those, 272 were accepted and 50 withdrew before action was taken on their applications. If one assumes that the 50 applicants were accepted by non-AMCAS schools, Stanford has an accept ratio of 78 percent. If the 50 candidates who withdrew are disregarded, Stanford's accept ratio is 75 percent. Assuming these 50 candidates were not admitted to any medical school gives a 66 percent accept ratio for Stanford students. The national accept ratio is approximately 33 percent (Ref. 9).

## 3. OTHER

Another area of service that the medical school offers the undergraduate student is premedical job opportunities. Every fall, the academic information center solicits the support of medical school faculty in providing voluntary or paid jobs for undergraduates. In 1974-75, 75 jobs were made available at the medical center to undergraduates.

In addition to serving as advisors for undergraduate students and students with graduate special majors, the medical school faculty provides chairpersons for many oral examinations for Ph.D. candidates. Medical school faculty served as chairpersons for 69 of the 502 oral examinations given during 1973-74. This service is particularly helpful to the university during the summer months when many of the non-medical school faculty are not on campus.

Interviews with several faculty and administrators indicate that there have been cooperative efforts by distinguished faculty both within and outside of the medical school to attract other equally distinguished faculty to
departments or schools in the university when such opportunities exist. Similar cooperation has occurred in fund-raising efforts where the reputation of the one school has initially attracted a donor who eventuaily gave to another school.

This report has concentrated to this point on describing and documenting where possible the cooperation that occurs in activities (teaching, research, and university service) common to the medical school and to the university at large. The responsibility of providing patient care, however, is unique to the medical school and it affects not only the perception of the medical school by university faculty and staff outside of the medical school but also the perception of the rest of the university by the medical school faculty and staff. The following section of this report will focus on the immediate effect of patient care responsibility on the medical school and its subsequent impact on the rest of the university.

## A. THE MEDICAL SCHOOL AND ACADEMIC MEDICAL CENTER

As medical education evolved, it became clear that preparation for the practice of medicine required not only course and book learning but also practical education in the art of applying that knowledge to the individual sick patient. When medicine became largely hospital-based in England, the teaching of medical students moved into the hospital and close association with patient care. The hospital then became, in effect, the practical classroom for the medical student and later, as research developed in Germany, also a laboratory for medical research. It is this role of the hospital, with its outpatient clinics, as necessary classroom and laboratory that distinguishes the medical school from other departments and schools in the university. The modern medical school is in reality an academic medical center which has not only classrooms, offices, and research laboratories in the usual university sense of a school to teach the theory of medicine but also a hospital, outpatient clinics, and all the support facilities necessary to their operation to teach the practice of medicine, conduct research in human disease, and provide health care to the region in which they are located.

The setting for medical education has thus become increasingly complex. Federal support for medical research grew from less than $\$ 10$ million in 1950
to more than $\$ 400$ million in 1972. Expenditures for the regular operating programs of medical schools increased from $\$ 200$ miliion in 1961 to $\$ 780$ million in 1971 and expenditures for all sponsored programs increased from $\$ 220$ million to $\$ 930$ million. Medical schools have become a multibilion dollar operation (Ref. 10). University hospitals and their major teaching hospital affiliates accounted for 20 percent of all the health care provided by the nation's hospitals in 1970. This increased from less than 14 percent in 1965. The total teaching responsibilities of the medical schools increased from 65,000 students in 1961 to 110,000 in 1972. By 1972, however, medical students accounted for only two out of five students taught by the medical school faculty. Interns, residents, predoctoral and postdoctoral students in the basic and clinical sciences, and full-time students in the other health professions comprised the other 60 percent of the student population (Ref. 10).

## B. MEDICAL EDUCATION AS A CONTINUUM: PREMEDICAL STUDENT, MEDICAL STUDENT, INTERN, RESIDENT, FELLOW, AND PRACTICING PHYSICIAN

Coincident with the historical development of the medical center, there was specialization of medical practice and a broadening of the concept of the education of physicians to include not only medical student education but also graduate and continuing medical education. Medical student education is just the beginning of the life-long education of the physician. Any meaningful discussion of medical education must encompass the full spectrum of undergraduate (medical student), graduate (intern, resident, and fellow), and continuing education. Most of this expanded educational role is the responsiblity of the medical school and its faculty and contributes significantly to the magnitude of the current operation both nationally and at Stanford.

The initial responsibility of the medical school in the continuum is establishing the prerequisities for entry and selecting the candidates to be admitted (Ref. 11).

Stanford Medical School requires a premedical curriculum of one year of biological sciences, two years of chemistry, and one year of physics. The school stresses that the undergraduate years should provide a liberal
education. The graduate statistics for 1974-75 for Stanford Medical School reveal that 4,973 applications were received, 245 students wers admitted and 155 enrolled - an admit/apply ratio of five percent. This is the lowest admit/apply ratio of any of the graduate schools at Stanford. The 4,973 applications are 27 percent of the 18,305 total Stanford University applications for graduate study and over half the number of total freshman applicants to the university (Ref. 12). Each of the top 700 candidates for the 86 medical student positions available each year at Stanford University are interviewed by at least one faculty member of the heavily burdened medical school admission committee. The background of the students offered admission in 1975-76 is given in Table 14.

Once admitted, medical school should provide the student an understanding of the principles of human development, both normal and abnormal; training in the basic problem-solving process necessary to the diagnosis of disease in patients; basic knowledge of technical procedures to treat disease; an awareness of the techniques and resources for the prevention of disease, an attitude that will enable him or her to keep up with developments in medicine after graduation from medical school; an understanding of the scientific method, its rigors, and techniques; and empathy for patients as human beings.

The education of the medical student is divided generally into two phases. The initial two years are spent largely learning the basic medical sciences (anatomy, biochemistry, genetics, histology, medical microbiology, neuroanatomy, pathology, pharmacology, and physiology). Teaching in the basic medical sciences, like teaching outside the medical school, is largely classroom and laboratory based. Large lecture and laboratory classes are effectively used.

The final two years are spent studying the clinical sciences (anesthesiology, community medicine, dermatology, internal medicine, neurology, obstetrics and gynecology, pathology, pediatrics, psychiatry, radiology, and surgery). The teaching of clinical medicine is based in the hospital or outpatient clinic. Teaching of medical students with patients usually involves only one to six students with a faculty member. The medical students must learn to interact with the patient and there is a limit to the number of students
a patient will relate to as a teaching subject. This educational process requires a large number of patients with wide diversity and severity of illness.

The medical school educational program by the nature of its product must be global. It is not possible to omit teaching the medical student about the eye and diseases of the eye because the budget will not allow for a teacher of ophthalmology. All the aspects of modern medical care must be included in the curriculum.

The courses and types of educational opportunities in the Stanford Medical School are listed in the Stanford Medical School Bulletin, Part I. A review of this will provide some idea of the scope and magnitude of modern education of the medical student. For those readers interested in seeing and reading about what it is like to be a student during the four years of medical school, the book "The Art of Learning Medicine" by May H. Lesser, Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1974, gives an insightful overview. The author is an artist who worked with a class of medical students at U.C.L.A. for four years and the book is illustrated with her sketches.

The role of the medical student in the actual practice of medicine was initially one of passive observer in the hospital and, in order to develop practical expertise in medical care, internship and later residency and fellowship programs were developed. All of these programs are hospital based. The internship is the first year of practical patient care experience following graduation from medical school.* A residency is a postgraduate period following internship of from two to seven years spent in learning in depth the science and art of practicing a medical specialty such as internal medicine, pediatrics, surgery, etc. During the rapid expansion of medical science following the second World War, internship and residency programs became increasingly specialized. Such prolonged graduate study became more desirous and prestigious in the eyes of both the physician and the public. A review in The New England Journal of Medicine (Ref. 13) indicates that 98 percent of all graduating medical students progress into a residency program of one specialty or another. A

[^1]fellowship is a year or two post-residency spent in learning a subspecialty of clinical medicine such as cardiology, cardiovascular surgery, hematology, etc. or devoted to further study in a basic medical science. The progression from medical student to intern, resident, and clinical fellow is one of a supervised medical practice with gradually increasing responsibility. This is accompanied by gradually decreasing supervision as the student gains experience, uses his knowledge, develops judgment, understands his limitations, and is able to accept more responsibilty. The process of clinical education is designed to bridge the gap between didactic knowledge and its application in complex clinical situations without damage to patients. The interns, residents, and fellows are students learning from the faculty, providers of patient care, and, with increasing experience, teachers of other interns, residents, and fellows as well as medical students.

The rigor with which both the scientific information and the art necessary to the practice of clinical medicine is imparted in the clinic or at the bedside has evolved a long way from its early beginnings as an apprenticeship. Medical student, intern, resident, and fellow "rounds" (patient-oriented teaching sessions with a faculty member) involve not only listening to, examining, and discussing an individual patient's problem but also a thorough discussion of the fundamentals for the various disease processes. The citing of background literature both recent or older as basis for either diagnosis or therapy is standard practice. Discussions over disputed points may only be decided by library review. Teaching "rounds" at their best are patient-disease-oriented seminars.

By historical precedent at Stanford University the intern, resident, and post-M.D. fellow* are not registered as students and do not receive a university degree upon completion of their course of study. They do receive a hospital certificate. The following table summarizes the total teaching responsibilities of the Stanford Medical Center in 1974-75 (Tables 1, 15, 16 and 17):

* The University, beginning in 1975-76 considers all postdoctoral fellows
as non-matriculated students.
Registered students:
Medical students374
Other graduate students ..... 148
Undergraduate students ..... 27549
Housestaff:
Interns ..... 56
Residents (including 41 who are concurrently postdoctoral fellows) ..... 310366
Postdoctoral fellows:
In basic science departments ..... 70
In clinical science departments (excluding 41 who are concurrently housestaff) ..... 161231
Total* ..... 1,146

Table 18 indicates the types of internships, residencies, and post-M.D. fellowships offered in 1974-75.

As noted earlier, medical schools need a large number and diversity of patients. The medical students and interns need to see and learn about the commonest diseases,i.e., diabetes, measles, stroke, coronary artery disease, etc. The resident and fellow with more experience need to see less common diseases and more complex diagnostic and therapeutic problems. The medical center must be large enough to provide all these educational experiences either within its walls or at "affiliated hospitals". Affiliated hospitals are needed by most medical schools since the number of patients available in any single hospital rarely provides the number or diversity of disease necessary to educate the number of medical students, interns, residents, and fellows needing to be taught.

Stanford Medical School has three major affiliated hospitals: the Children's Hospital at Stanford, the Santa Clara Valley Medical Center, and the Palo

[^2]Alto Veterans Administration Hospital. These institutions form an integral part of the teaching program of the medical school. The administration, terms, and extent of services shared varies with each hospital. Each makes its own contribution to the teaching environment of the school whether that is an extended pediatric experience at the Children's Hospital at Stanford, a neurology course at the Palo Alto Veterans Administration Hospital, or high volume patient care at the Santa Clara Valley Medical Center. It is estimated that 40 percent of the patient care teaching is done at these affiliated hospitals (Ref. 14). The number of hospital beds available to students, interns, residents, and fellows at the university hospital and affiliated hospitals is seen in Table 19. A comparison of the teaching beds available at Stanford Medical School and other medical schools is given in Table 20.

Even after a young physician completes his formal period of medical education he must continue learning during his entire career to maintain his competence and keep abreast of the advances in knowledge, technology, and medical practice. Increasing public pressure for recertification of practicing physicians to assure continuing high quality care has made continuing education programs an increasingly important part of the medical education continuum.

Stanford School of Medicine has conducted a regionalized continuing educational program since 1970 (Ref. 15). The program is based on an informal federation of five regional hospitals and has been designed to allow the school to investigate the process of continuing education of physicians. Stanford sends faculty members to each of the hospitals on a regular basis. They deliver lectures, attend teaching rounds and conferences, offer consultative advice, and assist with other educational and administrative activities at the community hospitals. The program also includes two one-week courses during the year. One course deals with the latest revisions of medical and surgical management of critically ill patients. The other course is a comprehensive review of basic medical science, designed to meet the needs of practitioners whose formal education was completed years before the advent of modern biomedical science. In addition to the regionalized continuing education program, Stanford Medical School also offers many other opportunities for continuing education at the medical center. Table 21 shows the participation in all the continuing education programs.

## C. MAGNITUDE AND DIVERSITY OF THE PATIENT CARE RESPONSIBILITY AT STANFORD MEDICAL SCHOOL

In order to understand the relationship between the medical school and the university it is important to appreciate the magnitude and diversity of the operation of the university hospital and outpatient clinics.

The Stanford University hospital and outpatient clinic operation is naturally centered around patients. In 1974-75, 25,247 patients stayed a total of 180,258 days in the hospital. During that same year there were 28,144 emergency room visits and 134,507 outpatient visits to the various clinics. 1,330,125 laboratory tests and transfusions were done that year. 454,819 patient meals were served and an additional 916,387 cafeteria meals were served to visitors, faculty, students, and staff. (The medical school is the only school in the university to run its own complete food service.) 33,227 electrocardiograms (measurements of the electrical activity of the heart) were performed that year and 2,212 electroencephalograms (measurements of the electrical activity of the brain). 14,638 surgical operations were performed. 351,454 prescriptions were filled. There were 14,880 physical therapy and 3,015 occupational therapy patient visits. 48,970 respiratory therapy treatments were given. 96,947 diagnostic x-ray examinations were performed. 716 cardiac catheterizations were performed with specialized $x$-ray examination. 28,746 $x$-ray therapy treatments were given and 9,384 nuclear medicine isotope procedures were performed. 15,979 tissue specimens from surgery were examined microscopically. (Ref. 16)

These patients were seen and their services provided by a hospital staff which numbered 2,637 individuals in 1974-75 (2,214 full-time equivalent employees) scattered through 88 departments. The outpatient clinic and medical school staff total 953 additional positions and the staff members with medical-center-wide responsibilities add 196 more to bring the total staff at the medical center to 3,786 (Table 22). This total excludes the interns, residents, and post-M.D. fellows as well as all other physicians. The staff at the medical center for $1974-75$ is over 45 percent of the 8,293 total staff of the university (Ref. 12). The diversity of medical center staff is shown in Table 22 which lists the staff by job titles.

The Stanford and Palo Alto physician staffs for 1974-75 totaled 710. Local physicians totalling 459 and 251 full-time university faculty made up the total (Table 23). It should be noted here that of the 618 total beds in the Stanford University Hospital only 248 are allocated to university physician faculty and fully utilizable for student teaching.

The patient care services are provided in a facility with 302,000 net square feet. The school of medicine alone occupies an additional 406,000 net square feet giving a total of 708,000 net square feet in the medical center. This is 12 percent of the total academic reserve of $5,608,000$ net square feet (Table 24).

The size of the operating budget for the academic medical center reflects the magnitude of the operation. In 1974-75, the total university budget, including the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center and the Stanford. University Hospital, was $\$ 264.8$ million (Ref. 17). The university hospital and clinic budget was $\$ 58.5$ million. The medical school budget was $\$ 42.1$ million. The total budget for the medical center was $\$ 100.6$ million, or 38 percent of the total university budget (Table 25).

The organizational structure for the medical center (school, outpatient clinic, and hospital) is described in Table 26. It should be noted that the vice president for medical affairs and the dean of the school of medicine are the same person. This individual reports both directly to the president of the university as a vice president and to the provost as dean of the school of medicine. The organizational relationships of the medical center to the president of the university through the vice president for medical affairs and to the provost through the dean are shown in Tables 27 and 28.

Even the figures and organizational diagrams given here do not provide a complete picture of the magnitude and diversity of the operation since they do not include the patient care figures, staff, medical staff, square footage, and organizational relationships for the three affiliated hospitals (the Children's Hospital at Stanford, the Palo Alto Veterans Administration Hospital, and the Santa Clara Valley Medical Center) which are essential to the educational program.

## D. MEDICAL SCHOOL FACULTY AND THE IMPACT OF PATIENT CARE

The academic departments in the medical school are divided into the two general categories of basic medical science (those without patient care responsibility) and clinical science (those with patient care responsibility). The basic and clinical science departments with their full-time faculty distribution and totals are described in Tables 29 and 30. Table 31 compares the total faculty and percent tenured at the medical school to the total faculty and percent tenured in the university.

## 1. BASIC MEDICAL SCIENCE FACULTY

The basic medical science departments generally have a small number of faculty most of whom have Ph.D. degrees rather than M.D. degrees. These departments are relatively stable with respect to faculty number. The university goals of excellence in teaching and research pose no greater problem for the Ph.D. or M.D.-Ph.D. faculty member in the basic medical sciences than for faculty members outside the medical school. The teaching setting of classroom lecture or small seminar and the laboratory setting are familiar to faculty in disciplines throughout the university. The time commitment to teaching and research by faculty in the basic sciences at the medical school is generally similar to that of faculty in the sciences not based at the medical school. Some advantages may accrue to Ph.D. or M.D.-Ph.D. basic science faculty members based in the medical school as the undergraduate teaching volume is probably less than in a science department outside of the medical school. Service on university committees does not pose any greater problem relative to imposition on other activities or scheduling during working hours for faculty in the basic medical science departments than for other faculty in the scientific disciplines based outside of the medical school.

## 2. CLINICAL MEDICAL SCIENCE FACULTY

The teaching of clinical medicine requires providing patient care which imposes a responsibility on the M.D. faculty in the clinical departments which is in addition to those shared by all faculty at the university.

While the national reputation of the Stanford Medical School is largely based on the basic and clinical science research productivity of its faculty, over 85 percent of its graduating medical students each year eventually enter into the private practice of medicine (Ref. 18). The last survey of medical graduates of Harvard Medical School indicated that a similar percentage of its graduates also entered private practice. Nationally about 95 percent of graduates enter private practice. While the Stanford Medical School emphasizes excellence in its basic science and clinical research, it has the responsibility to provide the same excellence in its patient care and teaching of clinical medicine.
3. IMPACT OF PATIENT CARE RESPONSIBILITY ON THE
a. DEPARTMENT SIZE AND COMPOSITION

The number of faculty in the clinical departments is based on a complex interaction of clinical teaching, patient care, and research responsibilities. The departments of psychiatry and behavioral sciences, radiology, and surgery each have about the same number of faculty as the school of earth sciences, education, or law. The department of medicine alone has about the same faculty number as the graduate school of business. Because of their responsibility for patient care, most of the faculty in the clinical science departments will have an M.D. rather than a.Ph.D. degree. The broad education of the medical student in all aspects of medical care has historically been the cornerstore of medical education. National and state medical licensure examinations, by testing all areas of medical knowledge, have reinforced this doctrine. The medical school must, therefore, provide teachers in all areas of patient care. The creation of subspecialization within medicine has contributed significantly to the increase in the number of faculty and to the evolution of most medical centers similar to Stanford into large tertiary care centers (the medical centers which deal with the most complex and most specialized aspects of medical care). Subspecialization allows faculty in the clinical departments to develop comprehensive knowledge of a subject and
focus clinical and research skills to meet the needs of such a center. The distribution of faculty in clinical departments by subspecialty is shown in Table 29.

## b. ROLE AS PHYSICIAN MODEL

The responsibility of the medical school is to see that the patient care provided by the faculty meets the same standard of excellence expected for the teaching and research of faculty throughout the university. The M.D. faculty member in a clinical department must strive to be an excellent physician in his chosen specialty to serve as a model for the medical student, intern, resident, and fellow. He cannot maintain his medical skills without actually providing patient care.

## c. TIME COMMITMENT

The time commitment of each clinical department and its faculty to patient care is a result of historical precedent, department orientation, and the ability of the department to control its patient care volume. Some departments such as anesthesia, pathology, and the diagnostic division of the radiology department have little or no control over their daily work volume. Their patient care load is determined largely by the needs of other clinical departments such as gynecology and obstetrics, medicine, pediatrics, and surgery which refer patients either from the outpatient clinic or hospital for appropriate examinations, procedures, or operations. Since medical emergencies and disease do not respect the ordinary working day, the medical student, intern, resident, fellow, and faculty in the clinical departments interact in an educational patient care environment which continues 24 hours a day throughout the year. The medical student, who needs to see and participate in the management of patients with severe trauma, and the intern, resident, and fellow physician, who need the experience of diagnosing and treating such patients, must be in the hospital when such accident victims arrive. Since this patient care and clinical teaching through all hours of the day and night requires faculty supervision, provision must be made for the faculty to maintain patient care responsibilities throughout each 24 -hour period. Regardless of the variation in time commitment to patient care, all clinical departments
share this responsibility as do all their M.D. faculty. The time commitment to patient care and teaching in the ordinary 10 -hour workday ranges from 60 to 85 percent for M.D. faculty members in the clinical departments (Table 32). Since the medical student has the least direct responsibility for patient care and has other classroom time commitments, most of the faculty time devoted to patient care teaching is spent with the intern, resident, and fellow.

Less than 20 percent of the patients receiving care are involved in medical research. Only 10,000 of the 64,000 patients seen at Stanford Medical Center in 1974 were participants in a research protocol (Ref. 19). The majority of patients are seen because they are $i l l$ and require medical care and during their diagnosis and treatment are used as subjects for the education of the medical students, interns, residents, and fellows. While some interns and residents are involved in clinical research with faculty supervision, they are primarily learning their medical or surgical specialty and providing patient care. Only the fellows and a few medical students in special research programs are similar to other postdoctoral fellows in that they are involved in research under faculty supervision which results in publication.

## d. AFFILIATED INSTITUTIONS

The clinical teaching need for a sufficient and diverse number of hospital and clinic patients, as noted before, has led to the utilization of affiliated institutions (the Children's Hospital at Stanford, the Palo Alto Veterans Administration Hospital, and the Santa Clara Valley Medical Center). The physical dispersal and separation of a significant number of faculty from the university hospital resource (Table 29) poses both an intellectual and physical problem for these faculty. All of these institutions are staffed wholly or in part by full-time faculty attempting to fulfill the major university goals of superior teaching and research scholarship. Their physical removal from the intellectual stimulus of the university makes this a more difficult task.
e. UNIVERSITY GOALS

The major commitment to patient care and clinical teaching of non-registered students (interns, residents, and fellows) by the M.D. faculty in the clinical departments significantly reduces the time available to them for laboratory or clinical research. Since the university has standards which primarily reward scholarship in research and teaching of registered students, the time devoted to patient care and clinical teaching of interns, residents, and fellows is seen as less productive from the point of view of obtaining the goal of a tenured faculty position in the university, however essential these activities may be to the medical school. This dichotomy in goals for the M.D. faculty, as physician, teacher, and deliverer of patient care in the school and academic competitor in the university, creates a situation fraught with tension and frustration.

## f. PROPOSED SOLUTIONS

One possible solution to the conflicting time demands on the M.D. faculty in clinical departments is to hire qualified non-faculty physicians to provide the clinical service beyond that essential to the educational program. Such non-faculty (staff) M.D. appointments exist at the medical school and are called physician specialists. At the Stanford University Hospital these appointments have been mostly of short duration to provide physician coverage for faculty on sabbatical, leave of absence, or during brief periods of research activity. Utilization of these non-faculty physicians has not been extensive. Some teaching is usually required of these physicians in their interaction with medical students, interns, residents, and fellows even where heavy clinical service duties are involved. Many faculty believe that, to maintain the clinical and teaching excellence necessary for a full-time position at a major university medical center, one must actively engage in answering questions important to the discipline, i.e., research. In any event, the economics of the physicians' marketplace is such that excellent practicing physicians with the ability to teach younger physicians usually cannot be hired full-time without the inducement of high salaries or professional rank. Their
clinical practice excellence is recognized by the marketplace in medicine and rewarded at a higher financial level than the medical school can afford. They can find an outlet for any desire they may have to teach by spending one day a month at the medical school as unpaid members of the voluntary faculty.

Many medical schools utilize a voluntary clinical faculty composed of excellent physicians in the immediate locale who contribute teaching time to the medical school and Stanford is one of them (Table 23). Historically these voluntary faculty have had the title of clinical professor of (medical subject). The voluntary clinical faculty are important to the medical school as models of the private practitioner of medicine for the medical student, in some instances for the income derived from their patient care services at the school which are donated to the school, and for the small but important amount of time that they free for the full-time faculty to pursue their scholarly activity. Although of great importance to the school, the voluntary clinical faculty cannot be expected to provide the major share of clinical teaching.

In order to provide a broader, reliable base for excellence in patient care and clinical teaching among the full-time faculty, the adjunct faculty line has been created. This faculty position has the responsibility of providing excellent patient care and clinical teaching in the broadest sense, including publication of review articles and teaching-oriented reports in their area of expertise. This faculty line does not have tenure but rather long-term appointments. Conceived as a means of developing and hiring the excellent physician faculty necessary to teach and provide patient care, the adjunct faculty line now includes associate professor and professor ranks, and so is structured to allow the development of young faculty to meet the need for patient care and clinical teaching. The title for this faculty line (professor of clinical subject) is unfortunately easily confused with the voluntary faculty title. Whether the adjunct faculty line and the numerical restricions placed on it (only 20 percent of the total academic council members in the medical school can be adjunct) will meet the needs of the clinical academic program remains to be established.

## E. IMPACT OF THE PATIENT CARE RESPONSIBILITY ON OTHER UNIVERSITY FACULTY

## 1. FACULTY SIZE

While the patient care and clinical teaching needs of the medical school have resulted in what for Stanford University is a large school faculty, the Stanford Medical School is not large by national medical school standards of total medical students, housestaff (interns, residents, and fellows), or faculty (Ref. 20). Nevertheless, the relatively large size of the medical school faculty has led to tension between medical school faculty and faculty in the rest of the university.

The faculty size at the medical school is an issue in university governance and membership and representation in the academic council and faculty senate. This issue has been resolved to date by admitting all those faculty who hold regular university appointments in specified academic ranks to the academic council but one-half of the total representation to the academic senate by school is on the basis of students registered in the school and the other half on the number of members of the academic council from each school (Ref. 21). This procedure results in an underrepresentation of the medical school faculty relative to its proportion of the total university faculty. Such under-representation of the medical school faculty on the senate eases fears of a medical faculty block vote on issues of importance to undergraduate education where the medical faculty may be less involved or have less knowledge or interest than faculty outside of the medical school. It does not ease the fears of the medical school that the senate representatives may inadvertently vote negatively on issues of importance to the medical school where faculty outside the school may be less involved or have less knowledge or interest. From the medical school point of view, this under-representation also fails to take into account the role played by the medical school faculty in undergraduate education, does not recognize the intern and resident as a graduate educational responsibility of the medical school and university, and creates an adversary role where one need not exist.
2. SALARY

All physician faculty at Stanford Medical School, other than volunteers, are salaried by the university. The average salaries of M.D. faculty in the clinical departments are higher than the average faculty salaries in other schools in the university (Table 33). The medical school attempts to maintain competitive salaries, department by department, relative to other medical schools with full-time salaried faculty. While medical school salaries are lower than those in private practice, they reflect competition from the private sector. The consultation policy within the medical school restricts all M.D. faculty members from keeping professional fees charged to individual patients except for emergency or one-time service or consultation. These monies, with the exceptions listed above, are turned over to the school. An M.D. faculty member at the Stanford Medical School may only accept income outside of salary from education of health professionals elsewhere; industrial consultation, not involving patient care; honoraria; royalties and prizes; and special salary supplements such as journal editorships which may be considered in establishing the base salary level. All of these activities must fit within general university guidelines. At many medical schools only a portion of the M.D. faculty funding is provided by a university salary and the rest is made up of private patient professional charges. This latter system, called "geographic full-time", increases M.D. faculty income but may decrease the motivation for faculty scholarship. When comparing faculty salaries, it should be noted that physician faculty salaries are based on an 11-month work year, because of patient-care responsibilities, and these salaries must be adjusted when compared to the standard nine-month university salary. It should also be noted that, at both the assistant and associate professor ranks, the average age of medical school faculty in clinical departments is three to four years greater than the average age of faculty of the same rank in other schools of the university (Table 34). The extended education of the academic physician beyond the four years of medical school through the years of internship, residency, and fellowship undoubtedly accounts for this older age of the faculty. The average salary for Stanford University in schools other than medicine is at the 80th to 95th percentile nationally
for university faculty (Ref. 22) while the average medical school faculty salary is at the 60th percentile nationally for medical schools with a full-time salaried faculty (Ref. 23). If the principle has been established by the university to recognize the reality of the academic marketplace and pay for academic excellence throughout the university, it has been slow to apply that principle to the salaries of the M.D. faculty in the clinical departments at the medical school probably because these salaries are on the average higher than those in other schools in the university and are increasing more rapidly. Although the salary differential which now exists may be an irritant to faculty in other schools, the competitive disadvantage nationally of relatively low faculty salaries in the clinical departments creates significant faculty recruiting, retention, and morale problems for the department chairmen. While it might seem to be desirable that all faculty at the university should be paid approximately the same at each rank, such a policy would not take into consideration the practical reality of the physician job market in academic medicine.

## 3. DEGREE BACKGROUND DIFFERENCE

It is difficult to evaluate what effect the different educational and situational backgrounds of the M.D. faculty at the medical school have on their relationship to the almost exclusively Ph.D faculty of the other schools at Stanford. The standards for tenured faculty promotion are basically similar. The accomplishments of the medical school M.D. faculty are judged for tenure by an ad hoc committee of three M.D. and two Ph.D. faculty from departments outside the one of the candidate being reviewed. Their report is reviewed by the medical school executive committee and the dean. Review by the provost, advisory committee, president, and board of trustees is the same as for faculty in the other schools. The percentage of tenured faculty at the medical school is the next to lowest of any school in the university with 58.1 percent tenured. Only the business school with 57.9 percent tenured faculty has a lower percentage (Table 34 ).

While the standards for faculty performace may be the same, the educational backgrounds are different. Although Stanford Medical School emphasizes research scholarship and many of its M.D. faculty, some with Ph.D. degrees, have highly developed laboratory skills, others have more highly developed traditional physician skills. Education of the physician historically has been a structured learning experience, not the more unstructured researchoriented environment which nurtures the Ph.D. candidate. The differing educational background may affect how one group of faculty views the other. The M.D. faculty may not appreciate the time constraints and pressures of laboratory research, undergraduate, graduate, and postdoctoral teaching, and student advising felt by the Ph.D. faculty. The physician faculty member finds his own day full with clinical teaching assignments, clinical or laboratory research projects, and, in addition, patient appointments, operations, procedures, and emergency patient-care calls at all hours of the day and night. From his perspective he may regard the Ph.D. faculty as lucky to have not only more time for scholarship but also a more leisurely life style. Because the Ph.D. faculty may not appreciate the problems created by patient care responsibility, clinical research, and clinical teaching, he may see the M.D. faculty as being less academically oriented and protesting too much about the busy nature of his daily schedule and envy his higher salary. The difference in educational background and daily academic life style is real but the common goal of academic excellence in one's discipline is shared.

The presence of a major health care facility with a large number of physician faculty on a residential campus poses other than academically important problems.

## 4. MEDICAL CARE FOR THE UNIVERSITY COMMUNITY

In the past the issue of the medical center and its faculty providing continuing medical care for the university community has been raised. Unless the university changes its goals and its standards for promotion of faculty to tenure, an increase in the patient care volume without an increase in scholarly opportunity for the faculty would not be in the
best academic interest of the medical school or its faculty. Only if this type of patient care can be developed with a firm base of teaching and research would it contribute positively to the academic program of the medical school.

## 5. FACULTY HOUSING

The size of the medical school faculty and the higher salaries paid to M.D. faculty could result in a disproportionate utilization of campus housing by M.D. faculty. Data with respect to the number of medical school faculty currently in campus housing relative to faculty in other schools does not suggest that this has occurred. Proportional to their number in the academic council 29 percent of campus housing could be expected to be occupied by medical school faculty. A 1975 study indicated that 24 percent of campus housing was occupied by medical school faculty. It is not clear that even should a disproportionate utilization occur it would necessarily be detrimental to the neighborhood.

## 6. DAILY INTERACTION

The size of the medical school, the need for contiguity of facilities and room for expansion, and the concentration of personnel make it difficult to locate the medical center other than on the periphery of the campus. The relatively greater distance to the faculty club and center of campus from the medical school combined with the time demands of patient care responsibility increase the difficulty for socialization with faculty from other schools during the work day and decrease the visible presence of the medical school faculty at the center of campus life.
F. MEDICAL SCHOOL ADMINISTRATION AND THE IMPACT OF PATIENT CARE

1. ADMINISTRATIVE ORGANIZATION OF THE MEDICAL CENTER

Like other medical schools throughout the nation, the Stanford Medical School has evolved into an academic medical center; a complex mixture of medical school, university hospital, and outpatient clinic. The
administrative organization of the medical center has been presented in the section describing the magnitude and diversity of the patient care responsibilities (Table 26). In the organizational diagram there appears to be a distinct separation between the patient-care-business aspects of the hospital and clinic and the academic aspects of the school. In practice this distinction is not clear largely because the faculty physicians play major roles in the medical center both as teachers and researchers in the school and as deliverers of patient care in the hospital and outpatient clinics. The collegial model of faculty governance of other schools in the university is intermingled with the more well-defined organization required to administer the patient care business of the hospital and clinics.

## 2. FACULTY ROLE

The authority of the faculty, as in other schools, is very broad in matters of educational policy, particularly courses and curricula, student admission policies and procedures, faculty recruitment and promotion, and research. The individual faculty member in the medical school just as in the rest of the university seeks to influence institutional decisions which affect his discipline, specialty, laboratory or research, and, where applicable, his patients. He can do this individually, through his elected representative bodies, the medical school faculty senate and the university academic senate, or through his department chairman. Many of these individual or group faculty decisions have major budgetary, personnel, and patient care implications.

## 3. THE DEPARTMENT AND THE ROLE OF THE DEPARTMENT CHAIRMAN

If the faculty is the principal constituency in terms of governance of the medical center, the department is the key organizational unit. As in the rest of the university, it is within the department that faculty recruitment takes place, decisions on courses and curricula are largely made, and policies can be initiated, modified, and carried out. The chairman of a basic science department has the same academic and adminstrative role as his colleague outside the medical school. The
chairman of a clinical science department, however, is responsible not only for the departmental academic affairs of teaching and research but also for the management and quality of the medical care provided by the department in the outpatient clinics, university hospital, and affiliated institutions. This includes direct responsibility as service chief at Stanford University Hospital for patient care in that discipline (e.g., surgery) provided by local physicians, voluntary faculty, full-time faculty, interns, residents, and fellows. (In 1974-75, in surgery, this included 14,638 major and minor surgical operations resulting in 66,956* inpatient days of care and 49,370 outpatient visits to the clinic.)

Medical school department chairmen, both in basic and clincal science departments, are selected in the usual university tradition of a nationwide review of faculty who are in the academic forefront of their discipline in research and teaching. The hope is that they will have sufficient administrative skills to provide academic leadership. However, in the case of the chairmen of the clinical departments, they must also be able to manage a multimillion-dollar patient-care business.

The chairmen of both the basic medical science and clinical science departments can influence the academic and patient-care administrative decisions of the dean individually and through the committee of department chairmen called the executive cormittee. In the executive committee, patient care decisions can be influenced by basic science as well as clinical department chairmen. The department chairmen of the clinical departments can also directly influence the patient care administration through their physician faculty and indirectly through the hospital medical board which includes the chairmen of all the clinical departments, three elected local physicians, the hospital director, and the dean.

The setting in which the departmental medical care is provided, however, is not as directly under the department chairman's administrative control as is the academic environment and the physician faculty. For example, the nurses (who provide patient care in the hospital under the direction

* 62,993 inpatient days on the surgical service and 3,963 on the pediatric service for which the surgery service chief has consultative responsibility.
of the faculty physicians) are hired by and responsible to the nursing service, not the clinical department chairman. The director of nursing service is responsible to the director of the hospital who is responsible to the vice president for medical affairs and the medical and hospital boards.

Development and maintenance of a high quality academic department, whose reputation is primarily based on the research productivity of the faculty, in the face of major patient care responsibility is a significant problem for the clinical department chairmen. The limiting effect of patient care responsibility on the time available for the scholarly pursuits of the physician faculty, the competitive salary level advantage of the medical schools with which Stanford competes, and the constant availability of private practice combine to create a major recruiting problem for the clinical department chairmen. This recruiting problem is reflected in the yearly "Changes in Medical School Faculty Status Report" (Table 35). During 1974-75, 93 new faculty appointments were made at the medical school which had a total faculty of 418 as of September 1, 1975. All 35 of the new appointments to the academic council were in clinical departments. Forty-three of the 58 other faculty appointments were in clinical departments. Most of these appointments were for acting or visiting faculty to fill positions while searches were ongoing or to replace faculty on sabbatical. Between 1968 and 1975, the total tenure-1ine faculty has decreased by 31 and the total faculty increased by 53. Non-tenure-line faculty (including acting and visiting appointments) now make up 36 percent of the medical school faculty and most of these are in the clinical departments. During 1974-75 the department of medicine appointed 16 new faculty; surgery, 14; radiology, 10; pathology, and psychiatry and behavioral sciences, 9 each; anesthesia, 8; dermatology, 6; pediatrics, 3; family, community, and preventive medicine, 2; and neurology, 1. An equal. number of new faculty may be necessary each year as replacements to maintain or expand the provision of patient care. Searches for tenure-line and non-tenure-line faculty are nearly continuous in these clinical departments.

The pace of departmental decision-making with respect to the daily provision of patient care is not leisurely. When an expensive and
sophisticated piece of diagnostic x-ray equipment breaks down, patients' lives may depend on how rapidly the chairman can have it repaired, replaced, or alternate diagnostic service provided. When a physician faculty member in a clinical department is sick, unexpectedly absent, or suddenly quits, the chairman must still arrange to provide the patient care for those patients who were to have been seen or operated on by that faculty member. Not only does the maintenance of the patient care service require constant administrative attention but the department chairman must also be the arbiter of professional (M.D.) medical disputes and sympathetic listener to unresolved patient complaints.

Currently the administration of the patient care aspects of the clinical departments occupies much of a chairman's time and his own research and teaching, the excellence of which prompted his hiring, is compromised. The time-consuming job of administering the delivery of patient care also restricts the amount of time he can devote to developing the teaching and research aspects of the department. It is not surprising that, as of September 1, 1975, of the 12 clinical departments only three have department chairmen who have served more than three years. Among the five major departments providing direct patient care (gynecology and obstetrics, medicine, pediatrics, psychiatry and behavioral sciences, and surgery), two are searching for chairmen and none of the other chairmen has served more than three years The increasing complexity and unattractiveness of the clinical department chairman's job is recognized throughout the country (Refs. 24 and 25).

## 4. THE DEAN AND THE UNIVERSITY VICE PRESIDENT FOR MEDICAL AFFAIRS

Since the dean of the school of medicine and the vice president for medical affairs of the university are the same individual, the patient care related problems of the medical school most immediately impact the central university administration in his office. He is responsible for the administration of all the university's programs in medical education, research, and patient care (with the exception of the student health service and medical care for the university staff, faculty, and their families) and has the responsibility for long-range planning of the academic program, physical facilities, and the financial operation of the Stanford University Medical Center. He represents the university in its relationship
with the Stanford University Hospital and as its interface with the public in matters pertaining to medicine.

In his role as dean of the school, he looks to the academic council and its senate for answers to questions involving faculty issues such as academic freedom, tenure, and new faculty titles. As dean, he submits to the administrative supervision of the provost and the usual review of faculty appointments and promotions as do all deans of schools. Unlike other deans, as the vice president for medical affairs of the university, he also reports directly to the president. It is the patient care responsibilities and the magnitude of them which make his relationship to the central administration of the university unique and their administrative impact which has made the median tenure of medical school deans nationally three years (Ref. 26).

These patient care responsibilities include representing the university in its relationship with the Stanford University Hospital board of directors, the governing body of the hospital, and administrative staff. This governing body of nine persons is appointed by the board of trustees of the university in their role as general members of the Stanford University Hospital. The hospital board of directors is charged with formulating policy, planning, maintaining, and operating the hospital, and providing for the organization of the medical board which is responsible for determining all professional medical policies. The medical board is comprised of the dean and vice president for medical affairs, the director of the hospital, the department chairmen, and three deputy chiefs of the clinical services elected from the local community physicians (Ref. 27).

The dean must transmit to the university administration the actions of the hospital and medical board and describe their effect on the medical school and university. As the only university officer with an M.D. degree and practical experience in the medical-care business, he must continually educate his administrative colleagues about this area which is foreign to their past academic and educational experience and increasingly more complex.

The patient care responsibility, as we have seen, is not separable from the academic program in spite of administrative recognition of it as an additional responsibility. Its effect on faculty turnover and replacement, time available for faculty scholarship, and faculty size has been mentioned.
a. ACADEMIC PROGRAM AND PHYSICAL FACILITIES

The necessity for patient care in sufficient amount to teach medical students, interns, residents, and fellows and maintain medical faculty expertise determines to a large extent the size of the physical facility of the academic medical center. When compared with nine competitive medical schools (Table 20), Stanford has the next to smallest number of junior students (those students beginning their patient care teaching years). Even with this small class size, Stanford has only 29 percent of the teaching hospital beds available per junior student at Harvard, 42 percent of the teaching beds available per junior student at Johns Hopkins, and 55 percent of the teaching beds per junior student at Duke. Table 36 shows the teaching hospital beds needed at Stanford based on a national model. This model indicates a current need for 227 more beds to provide adequate teaching opportunity for the present student population. Current construction will provide only 25 additional beds. Part of the bed deficit problem faced by the dean occurs because 370 of the 618 beds available in the Stanford University Hospital are contracted to the use of Palo Alto physicians until 2008. This is equivalent to having 60 percent of the seats in Stanford University classrooms contracted to Foothill College for the use of their students for the next 33 years. This peculiar contractual arrangement with the City of Palo Alto resulted from the high cost of building patient care facilities and local patient care pressures. One approach to solving the teaching-bed-deficit problem has been the use of ancillary facilities. The Children's Hospital at Stanford, the Palo Alto Veterans Administration Hospital, and the Santa Clara Valley Medical Center are integral parts of the academic program providing additional teaching beds. Their use means the students and faculty must trave 1 between institutions, the faculty at these institutions are removed from the stimulus of the medical center, and the dean has a significant portion of his academic program in institutions which he and the university do not direct.

The impact of the patient care responsibility is clear with respect to the hospital and clinic facility requirements for clinical teaching and research, but its impact on the facility needs in basic science and laboratory
research is less evident. Some clinical research occurs during diagnosis and treatment of a patient's illness. Other patient-oriented research requires laboratory space either for analysis of human tissues or experiments utilizing animal models of human disease. The immediacy of patient care needs can create pressures which are inexorable. For example, as the demand for certain laboratory and diagnostic services for direct patient care has increased, some of the laboratories providing these services have expanded into research laboratory space and decreased the amount of this space available. For a school second among the nation's medical schools for sponsored research dollars per faculty member (Ref. 20), the adequacy of research space is a serious problem. It is not clear how the use of funds to meet the patient care needs of the clinical departments has affected the medical school's 16 year inability to renovate the portion of the old museum building (which houses the basic science departments of anatomy and medical microbiology) and the area of the main quadrangle which houses the department of physiology; nor is it clear how the use of funds for patient care needs has been related to the medical school's inability to construct a new facility for these departments. The lack of adequate facilities has undoubtedly played a major role in the school's failure to attract a permanent department chairman for anatomy and physiology. Current construction will provide new facilities which will partially accomodate anatomy and physiology but not medical microbiology.

## b. THE PUBLIC, MEDICINE, AND THE MEDICAL SCHOOL

Health expenditures in the United States in 1975 were 8.3 percent of the gross national product, a total of $\$ 119$ billion, up 14 percent over 1974 . The health industry is now the second largest industry in the nation. Per capita spending equalled $\$ 547$ in 1975. Since 1965 public expenditures for health care have grown from $\$ 10$ to $\$ 50$ billion and from 25 percent to 42 percent of the total health care expenditures (Ref. 28). With such large sums of money being spent for health and the visibility that health care has in the national, regional, and local scenes, it is to be expected that pressures will be exerted at all these levels to influence the operation of the medical center. These administrative pressures are different in
degree and kind and are in addition to those being exerted on higher education and other schools in the university.

Today over 100 different federal, state, and local agencies have an impact on academic medical centers. Consider federal reimbursement under Medicare, federal and state policies under Medicaid, formula and project grants for health professions education, regional medical programs, health services research, family planning, maternal and child health service projects, policies in human experimentation, professional standards review organizations, policies in construction of medical facilities, regulation of medical insurance carriers, policies of the Federal Drug Administration, state regulation of medical x-ray producing equipment, medical malpractice insurance policies, federal regulations protecting animals used in medical research, and national and state regulations requiring recertification of practicing physicians. The effect of the contractural arrangement with the City for beds at the Stanford University Hospital has already been mentioned.

Additional federal regulatory policies are proposed including a mandated admission requirement, a mandated organizational structure, probable legislation of national health insurance, possible legislation affecting the distribution of physicians graduating from medical school, and possible legislation mandating the education of a fixed percentage of primary care physicians from each incoming medical student class.

The size of the patient care operation at the medical school can magnify the administrative effect of governmental policies which affect all of the schools in the university. Examples are the bringing of universities and hospitals under the jurisdiction of the National Labor Relations Act and affirmative action searches for staff. Since the medical school has 45 percent of the staff of the university, it is affected by these policies to a greater extent than any other school in the university. The effect of affirmative action search and hiring policies for faculty is increased by the high rate of new faculty appointments at the medical school as well as the large size of the faculty.

The magnitude and complexity of the patient care operation, the intense
regulatory environment of the hospital, and medical malpractice litigation make it necessary for the dean to have a staff of university attorneys whose time is devoted exclusively to the school, a situation which is not common to other schools in the university.

The melding of patient care with academic responsibilities in one physical setting at the medical center even creates unique problems with such things as staff pay. Because of historical hospital and university precedent, secretaries hired by one department, doing similar work, at similar levels, may be paid different salaries and have different benefits depending on the budget (hospital or university) from which they are paid.

Public pressures for improved medical care and the expectation that academic medical centers serve as foci not only for the provision of that care but also for the education of all personnel concerned with that care have led to educational programs based in the university hospital that are unrecognized by the university either as academically important or as university service. Typical of these is the physician's assistant program that has been developed by Stanford Medical School, jointly operated with Foothill College, and funded by $\$ 972,000$ provided by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare through the Bureau of Health Manpower Resources and the California Committee on Regional Medical Programs. The program hopes to retard the trend of physicians leaving rural areas for urban-surburban areas by providing them with assistants. There are approximately 20 students in the class and the program is designed to be expanded to other community colleges and cooperating area hospitals. The physician's assistants aid M.D.'s by taking patient histories, giving physical examinations, doing simple laboratory analyses, providing minor therapeutic and diagnostic services, and offering patient counseling and education under the doctor's supervision. Educational activities such as this one which are deemed worthy by the public and are supported by federal or state funds require supervision by an interested faculty member, teaching space, and interaction of these students with patients. The physician's assistant program is only one of several hospitalbased non-university teaching activities at the medical center which include the inhalation therapy program, nursing assistant training program,
radiotherapy technology program, diagnostic x-ray technology program, surgical technical aide program, unit clerk training program, and the postgraduate course for nurses in operating room technique.

The recertification legislation either pending or in effect on a national or local level has increased the pressures from within medicine for the academic medical centers to provide the necessary continuing education. The medical school meets these needs by either developing continuing education courses for physicians at the medical center (Table 21) or by faculty participation in such courses held throughout the country. While this type of teaching fulfills a public and professional need, it does not further the university goals of excellence in research or teaching in the limited sense of students registered at the university.

The public desire for the latest in medical scientific advances to be made rapidly available for patient care places a continuous demand on the medical school and medical center to expand its expertise and services. An example of the type of technological advance which creates an entirely new field is the application of $u l$ trasound to the diagnosis of human disease. This field began developing after World War II as a result of technology developed during the war. Although the technique has limitations (ultrasound passes poorly through air-containing organs), it has the advantage of not being injurious to human tissues at the energy levels employed for diagnosis. The diagnostic division of the radiology department and the cardiology division of the department of medicine, in conjunction with the electrical engineering department of the engineering school, are developing sophisticated diagnostic ultrasound equipment. Many commercial units are already available. Various clinical departments expressed a strong need for this service which is available in many hospitals and is now available at the medical center. Not only is the proper equipment necessary to meet this need, but providing this new discipline requires faculty, rooms for the equipment, technicians to run the equipment, and secretaries for the faculty and for patient scheduling. As diagnostic ultrasound establishes itself, physicians in the private practice of medicine require that this discipline be incorporated into resident training programs and into medical education in general. Similar
advances have occurred in the last few years in computerized axial tomography, fiber-optic endoscopic examinations, open heart cardiac surgery and coronary surgery bypass procedures, transplantation surgery, medical immunology, and cancer chemotherapy to mention a few. The expansion of these and other medical advances into routine patient care and general medical education begins at medical centers such as Stanford and contributes to the increasing size and cost of these institutions.

## c. FINANCIAL OPERATION

The large size of the medical center budget (medical school, hospital, and outpatient clinic) is of concern not only to the dean and the department executives at the medical school but also to the university president, his financial officers, and the board of trustees. As noted earlier, the $\$ 100.6$ million medical center budget is 38 percent of the total university budget of $\$ 264.8^{*}$ million. The hospital and outpatient clinic budgets total $\$ 58.5^{* *}$ million (Table 25). The medical school academic budget is $\$ 42.1$ million. $\$ 31.4$ million is outside the operating budget and $\$ 10.7 \mathrm{million}$ is in the operating budget. This latter portion of the budget is of greatest concern to the faculty in other schools in the university since it alone deals with university general funds, but the medical school administration, the president, and board of trustees are also responsible for the other 89 percent of the budget of the medical center.

The medical school operating budget income is made up of general funds, special funds, gifts and grants, and endowment income. These are the income sources for the university and all its schools. When the medical school moved to the Stanford campus in 1959, a budget formula was adopted, called initially a general fund ceiling, and implemented to reduce the likelihood of the medical school becoming a financial drain on university general funds. Currently the general funds received by the school consist of:

* Includes 14 months of Hospital expense.
** Hospital includes only 12 months of expense to be comparable to school and clinic.

1. 100 percent of tuition (less $\$ 98,200$ of community fees) paid by students in degree programs administered by the school (\$1,854, 345) ,
2. 100 percent of medical student application fees received by the school $(\$ 93,947)$,
3. Total indirect cost recovery on grants and contracts received by the medical school less 15 percent of the net total direct expenditures which is retained by the university (irrespective of allowances made by the granting contracting agency) $(\$ 4,347,000)$. The school of medicine is responsible for the operations and maintenance expenses of its physical facilities and receives fire and police services and some utilities from the City of Palo Alto rather than Stanford. This division of overhead income is felt to reflect the approximate division of overhead expenses incurred by the university and the school.
4. A basic allocation, which is a negative figure for the school, offsets the university administrative expenses not covered by indirect cost recovery on sponsored research and adjusts the flow of dollars for numerous services the school provides itself in whole or in part $(-\$ 171,837)$.

Special funds include the school's share of professional care income generated by the faculty, less expenses. Gifts and grants include unrestricted gifts and grants received by the school. Endowment income is the income from endowments designated and restricted to the medical school.

The school is responsible for salaries and benefits, equipment, travel, expendable materials and supplies, library acquisitions, and other operating expenses (Ref. 29). The present budget formula is in effect through 1975-76. The whole question of budget allocation by formula was reviewed by the Task Force VI Committee appointed by the president. As pointed out by Vice Provost Bacchetti, while the medical school budgeting is by formula in contrast to all other schools in the university, with the exception of business, the medical school follows standard university policies and practices in regard to faculty appointment, reappointment, and promotion recommendations; faculty position justification procedures; faculty salary review; staff personnel employment, classification, and
compensation standards; affirmative action goals and obligations; accounting, investment, purchasing, and other internal administrative procedures; fund-raising coordination; and other administrative, legal, safety, and general standards of operation.

Dean Clayton Rich has stated that the budget formula has:
...allowed the Medical School to take advantage of the very favorable economic circumstances of academic medicine in the past 25 years, while, at the same time, being sure that the School does not drain resources from other schools and programs of the University that are less able to gain public and private support (Ref. 29).

The budget formula has in fact decreased the percent of university unrestricted funds going to the medical school since it was initiated. The budget formula, moreover, has not stopped the medical school from participating in the recently completed Budget Adjustment Program (BAP). Of the $\$ 5,000,000$ deficit eliminated from the operating budget over a five-year period, the medical school contributed $\$ 1,051,500$ (Ref. 29) and thus receives annually $\$ 1,051,500$ less than in the pre-BAP years.

The same changes in economic factors which created the need for reconsideration of budget projections across the university prompted the school of medicine to revise its long range budget forecast (Ref. 30). This revised forecast indicates there will be operating budget deficits beginning in the third year (1977-78) of the five-year forecast if the budget is not altered. While the forecasts project increases in expense in excess of increases in income for the medical school and university, and the student population seeking entry to universities is declining, the demand for entry to medical school has not slackened and the public demands for access to medical care is unabated.

Operation under an allocation by formula (general fund ceiling) budget gives the medical school a strong incentive to develop a vigorous fundraising program and to operate its affairs with maximum efficiency. It also enables the school to make long range plans to an extent not otherwise possible. There are risks involved with such a formula; if the
school is unable to raise the funds necessary to meet the commitments associated with the plan of action it is following, no further general funds from the university are forthcoming and the result could be a major setback to the school's leadership and the school's financial future. It should be noted in this context that the fund-raising efforts of the medical school are coordinated with such efforts by other schools through the university development office. This coordination enables the president of the university to establish relative priorities for all the fund-raising efforts of the various schools, prevents multiple solicitations of a donor by different schools in the university, and restricts the individuals and foundations which can be solicited by any one school. The medical school, because of its highly visible patient care function and disease oriented research, is a major focus for gifts. Insofar as the medical school is unable to pursue all of the avenues for gift monies which are potentially available to help meet its perceived academic and patient care needs, another major source of its operating budget besides general fund income is limited. (Other universities such as Harvard do not coordinate solicitation among schools. Harvard believes that multiple solicitations by different schools is desirable and leads to more total gifts to the university (Ref. 31).)

Medical school tuition income is also limited not only by the same factors which limit further increases in tuition level throughout the university but also because the number of medical students which can be taught with the currently available teaching beds cannot be increased even though over 4,500 applications are received each year for the 86 positions available. The special funds generated by the faculty through their patient care services can be increased either by having the faculty see more patients or by raising the level of professional fees. The former can be accomplished only at the expense of scholarly activities and would require additional expansion of facilities. The latter is partially controlled by federal, state, and medical insurance provider regulations as well as the competitive medical care market.

The medical school budget outside the operating budget is primarily made up of sponsored research ( $\$ 21.0 \mathrm{million}$ of $\$ 31.4 \mathrm{million}$ ). Fifty percent of the total medical school budget of $\$ 42.1$ million is made up of
sponsored research. Of this $\$ 21.0$ miliion, 89 percent is government sponsored. The total sponsored research at the medical school is two-and-one-half times the dollar amount in the school of humanities and sciences and vividly demonstrates the ability of the medical school faculty to compete for these funds and the public and government interest in medicine and medical research. The dependence of the medical school on federal funds for half of its budget creates what is called a leveraged situation. The acquisition of these funds enables the medical school to expand its academic program with a minimum investment of other money. These government grants or contracts may, however, be as abruptly withdrawn as they were given, leaving the medical school with commitments to faculty and staff which must be met from other sources of income. Not only is the direct income lost when a grant or contract is not renewed, but the indirect income is also lost to the school and the university. The taking of the risk involved was intentional to allow the school to grow. The university is relatively protected, should a loss of income of this nature occur to the school, by the general fund ceiling or budget formula and it would be up to the school initially to solve its financial problem. The relative budgetary independence inherent in the budget formula carries with it increased administrative responsibilities and increased financial risk for the medical school. In the final analysis this risk is shared by the university and its board of trustees.

## G. CONCLUSIONS

Where the goals and operation of the medical school are understood and seen as common to the goals and operation of the rest of the university, the interaction between the two has been academically productive and beneficial to both and can continue to be increasingly so. These common, well understood areas are teaching of registered undergraduate and graduate students, laboratory and clinical or applied research, and service to the university by participation in its advisory committees or organizations. Where the goals and operation of the medical school are not understood or seen as common with general university goals and operations, the interaction
between the school and the university may be tense with mutual suspicion and misunderstanding of motives. This tension has been present in the past and is present now. It is largely created by the impact of patient care responsibility, which is foreign to the university outside of the medical school, on all aspects of the academic program of the school and its budget. If the medical school continues to be subjected to increasing public pressure to expand its patient care role, educate more physicians and ancillary patient care personnel, and introduce new diagnostic and therapeutic techniques to medical practice, and the university fails to appreciate the impact of the patient care responsibilities on the school, the perceived divergence of goals may increase.

As Stevenson (Ref. 1) noted in his article on the historic relationship of the university and the medical school, "if, as in early nineteenth century $0 x f o r d$ the pace and purpose of university education are widely different from those the times require of medicine, the association will be less than happy and less than fruitful". While it is not yet clear that such a condition exists at Stanford, the current "dynamic steady state" projection for the university and the continued public demand for access to more and better medical care and more personnel to deliver that care raise the specter of such a dichotomy.

The medical school indeed has become "physically and philosophically an integral part of the University" as Dr. Sterling hoped. That integration, however, is not yet complete. Complete and responsible integration of the academic medical center into the university cannot occur unless each individual involved in decision making for the university in academic and administrative affairs has a clear understanding of the importance and impact of patient care on the academic program of the medical school. The university and the medical school have a conjoint responsibility in addressing the problems created by patient care and completing the integration. To optimally manage these problems, the medical school must clearly explain this issue to the university outside of the school. The rest of the university in turn must accept the problem as basic to the relationship and not avoid learning about it because it is complex and foreign to its prior experience. Insofar as this report is successful in providing some understanding to the
university community of the academic medical center, its relationship to Stanford University, and the impact of patient care on that relationship, the time devoted to its preparation will have been well spent.

This review involved a lengthy period of interview and data collection and collation. Many Stanford people have helped in this project. A list of those involved in some capacity is very long and I acknowledge my gratitude generally to all those with whom I discussed this subject. The contribution of the following individuals was particularly appreciated:

Robert Alway Sophia Alway Raymond Bacchetti Malcolm Bagshaw William Beahrs Paul Berg Arthur Bienenstock Byron Brown Robert Butler Peter Carpenter Kenneth Creighton Lawrence Crowley Kenneth Cuthbertson<br>Harriet Emerson<br>Count Gibson<br>Floyd Grolle Lillian Hansen<br>Donald Harrison<br>Albert Hastorf<br>$01 e g$ Jardetzky<br>Marjorie Johnson<br>Henry Kaplan<br>Donald Kennedy

Sheryl Kerner<br>Arthur Kornberg<br>Joshua Lederberg<br>William Massy<br>James McClenahan<br>Harden McConnell<br>Jean McFadden<br>Elizabeth Meyer<br>Harry Miller<br>William Miller<br>Lincoln Moses<br>Donald Nagel<br>Bernard Nelson<br>Linda Northway<br>Janice Pierce<br>Helen Rantz<br>Clayton Rich<br>Robert Schimke<br>James Stanford<br>John Steward<br>Jane Tiemann<br>Martha Weitzenberg<br>John Wilison

I am personally indebted to the Ford Foundation and the University Fellows program for the opportunity they provided me to complete this project. Finally, special thanks are due Mary Lou Kilcline for her editorial assistance without which this report would not have been completed.
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TABLE 1: TOTAL REGISTERED STUDENTS, BY SCHOOL AND, WITHIN THE SCHOOL DF MEDICINE, BY PROGRAM, 1974-75

|  | Undergraduate | Graduate | Total | Terminal Graduate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major undeclared | 2,617 | 12 | 2,629 |  |
| Unaffiliated programs |  | 50 | 50 | 26 |
| School of Business |  | 660 | 660 | 21 |
| School of Earth Sciences | 56 | 130 | 186 | 46 |
| School of Education |  | 485 | 485 | 76 |
| Education-Business |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| School of Engineering | 651 | 1,295 | 1,946 | 193 |
| School of Humanities and Sciences | 3,176 | 1,303 | 4,479 | 440 |
| School of Law | 1 | 424 | 425 | 4 |
| Law-Business |  | 31 | 31 |  |
| School of Medicine: |  |  |  |  |
| Biochemistry |  | 17 | 17 | 6 |
| Genetics |  | 8 | 8 | 1 |
| Health Services Administration |  | 7 | 7 |  |
| Hearing and Speech Sciences |  | 5 | 5 | $?$ |
| Medical Microbiology | 27 | 15 | 42 | 4 |
| Neuro- and Biobehavioral Sciences |  | 24 | 24 | 3 |
| Pharmacology |  | 8 | 8 | 2 |
| Physical Therapy |  | 43 | 43 |  |
| Physiology |  | 3 | 3 |  |
| M. D. Program | 27 | $-\frac{374}{504}$ | $\begin{array}{r}374 \\ \hline 537\end{array}$ | 18 |
| Total | 6,528 | 4,895 | 11,423 | 826 |

Source: Autumn Quarter registration figures (as of 10/15/74) published in Stanford Student Directory 74-75.
Notes: Figures above exclude: 220 non-matriculated students and 57 with attendance permits.

TABIE 2: STUDENTS, UHDERGRADUATE AND GRADUATE, IH UNIVERSITY AND IN MEDICAL SCHOOL BY DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM, 1959-60 - PRESENT

| Academic <br> Year $\qquad$ | Anat- <br> omy | Bio-chemistry | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Genet- } \\ & \text { ics } \end{aligned}$ | Hearing \& Speech Sciences | Med- <br> ical <br> Micro-biol- <br> ogy | Neuro- <br> logi- <br> cal <br> Sci- <br> ences | Nursing | Phar-macology | Phys- <br> ical <br> Ther- <br> apy | Physi- <br> ology | Oth |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & 0- \\ & 0-1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | MEDICAL SCHOOL TOTAL | UNIVERSITY | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | UG G | UG G | UG G | UG G | UG G | UG G | UG G | UG G | UG G | UG |  |  |  | UG G TOT | UG G | TOTAL |
| 1959-60 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 1528 | 513 |  | 82 | 1 | 921 | 57 | 1 | 4 |  | 204 | 121288409 | 5,415 3,345 | 8,760 |
| 1960-61 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 2030 | 513 |  | 75 | 1 | 622 | 27 |  | 4 | 36 | 193 | 144284428 | 5,613 3,636 | 9,249 |
| 1961-62 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 2235 | 411 |  | 67 | 2 | 822 | 119 |  |  |  | 194 | 165285450 | 5,666 3,344 | 9,510 |
| 1962-63 | 1 | 110 | 3 | 1459 | 314 |  | 60 | 4 | 722 | 911 | 2 | 2 | 31 | 195 | 127321448 | 5,599 4,262 | 9,861 |
| 1963-64 |  | 11 | 5 | 756 | 314 |  | 55 | 6 | 727 | 913 |  | 1 | 30 | 250 | 111383494 | 5,648 4,780 | 10,428 |
| 1964-65 | 4 | 12 | 6 | 858 | 214 | 3 | 59 | 5 | 723 | 1014 |  |  |  | 242 | 110381491 | 5,729 4,956 | 10,685 |
| 1965-66 | 7 | 11 | 7 | 562 | 116 | 6 | 67 | 11 | 533 | 511 |  |  |  |  | 96436532 | 5,853 5,230 | 11,083 |
| 1966-67 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 546 | 517 | 7 | 76 | 8 | 727 | 18 |  |  |  |  | 115426541 | 5,925 5,386 | 11,311 |
| 1967-68 | 5 | 17 | 10 | 326 | 116 | 10 | 66 | 9 | 1228 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 104434538 | 5,923 5,517 | 11,440 |
| 1968-69 | 5 | 14 | 11 | 8 | 419 | 14 | 60 | 9 | 77 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 85414499 | 6,078 5,244 | 11,322 |
| 1969-70 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 222 | 9 | 67 | 11 | 17 | 9 |  |  | 8 | 329 | 77426503 | 6,221 5,217 | 11,438 |
| 1970-71 | 2 | 16 | 9 | 5 | 120 | 11 | 62 | 11 | 27 | 7 |  |  | 6 | 325 | 69433502 | 6,303 5,159 | 11,462 |
| 1971-72 | 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ (+8) \end{array}$ | $(+6)^{6}$ | $(+1)^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 214 \\ & (+8) \end{aligned}$ | $(+3)^{7}$ | 76 | 10 | 30 | $(+3)^{5}$ |  |  |  | 331 | $\begin{gathered} 82421503 \\ (+29) \end{gathered}$ |  | $11,503$ |
| 1972-73 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ (+3) \end{array}$ | $(+4)^{4}$ | $(+1)^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 613 \\ & (+9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ (+1) \end{array}$ | 41 | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ (+1) \end{array}$ |  | $(+3)^{5}$ |  |  |  | 334 | $\begin{gathered} 50431481 \\ (+22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,4124,962 \\ (+807) \end{array}$ | 11,374 |
| 1973-74 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ (+9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ (+2)^{7} \end{array}$ | $(+3)^{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1912 \\ (+5) \end{gathered}$ | 17 | 16 | $(+2)^{9}$ |  | $(+2)^{4}$ |  |  |  | 347 | $\begin{gathered} 38453491 \\ (+23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,4374,823 \\ (+866) \end{array}$ | $11,260$ |
| 1974-75 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ (+6) \end{array}$ | $(+1)^{8}$ | $(+2)^{5}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2715 \\ (+4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ (+3) \end{array}$ |  | $(+2)^{8}$ | 43 | 3 |  | 7 |  | 374 | $\begin{gathered} 27504531 \\ (+18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,528 \\ (+8,895 \\ (+826) \end{array}$ | 11,423 |

Source: Autumn Quarter registration figures published in University Directory (1959-60-1961-62); Student Directory (1962-63-present).
Nctes: Hearing \& Speech Sciences includes Speech Pathology (1959-60-1960-61), Speech Pathology \& Audiology (1961-62-1967-68), Speech \& Hearing Sciences (1968-69-1969-70), and Hearing \& Speech Sciences (1970-71 - present).
Other includes UG in Basic Medical Sciences, $G$ in Biophysics (1959-60-1960-61), fi in Advanced Degree Programs (1962-63 -1963-64), and $G$ in Health Services Administration (1974-75 - present).
M.D. Program assumed equivalent to "School of Medicine" in University Directory in 1959-60 and to "Miscellaneous Medicine" in University Directory in 1960-61.
UG = undergraduate; $G=$ graduate.
Hon-matriculated, terminal graduate, attendance permit, and special registrations are excluded from all figures shown (exception: terminal graduate registrations are shown in parentheses beginning in 1971-72).

TABLE 3: GRADUATES, UNIVERSITY TOTAL AND IN MEDICAL SCHDOL BY DEPARTMENI/PROGRAM AND DEGREE, 1959-60 - PRESENT

| Academic Year | Anat- <br> omy | Bio-chemistry | Genetics | Mear- <br> ing 8 <br> Speech <br> Sci- <br> ences | Med- <br> ical <br> Micro- <br> biol- <br> ogy | Neuro- <br> logi- <br> cal <br> Sci- <br> ences | Nurs- <br> ing | Phar-macolOgy | Physical <br> Therapy | Physiology | Other | M.D. Program | MEDICAL <br> SCHOOL <br> TOTAL | UNIVERSITY TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1959-60 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 8 \mathrm{AB} \\ 11^{\mathrm{AM}} \\ 6 \mathrm{PhD} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \mathrm{AB} \\ & 1 \mathrm{AM} \\ & 1 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ |  | 29 BS |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \mathrm{AB} \\ & 5 \mathrm{AM} \end{aligned}$ | 4 BS |  | 56 MD | $52 A B / B S$ <br> 17 AM/MS <br> 63 MD/PhD | 2,437 (all degrees) |
| 1960-61 |  | 1 PhD |  | 8 AB <br> 8 AM <br> 3 PhD | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{AB} \\ & 3 \mathrm{AM} \end{aligned}$ |  | 33 BS |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{AB} \\ & B A M \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{BS} \\ & 1 \mathrm{MS} \\ & 2 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ |  | 53 MD | 50 AB/BS <br> $20 \mathrm{AM} / \mathrm{MS}$. <br> 59 MD/PhD | 2,658 |
| 1961-62 |  |  | $1 \text { PhD }$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \mathrm{AB} \\ 18 \mathrm{AM} \\ 3 \mathrm{PhD} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{AB} \\ & 2 \mathrm{AB4} \\ & 2 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ |  | 19 BS |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 A B \\ & 2 A M \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \mathrm{BS} \\ & 4 \mathrm{MS} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \mathrm{AB} \\ & 1 \mathrm{AM} \end{aligned}$ | 57 MD | 46 AB/BS <br> 27 AM/MS <br> 63 MD/PhD | 2,866 |
| 1962-63 |  | 1 PhD | 1 PhD | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \mathrm{AB} \\ 22 \mathrm{AM} \\ 6 \mathrm{PhD} \end{array}$ | 4 AB 2 PhD |  | 20 BS |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \mathrm{AB} \\ & 2 \mathrm{AM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \text { BS } \\ & 1 \mathrm{MS} \end{aligned}$ | 7 AB | 4 MD | 53 AB/BS <br> 25 AM/MS <br> 14 MD/PhD | 2,955 |
| 1963-64 | 1 PhD | 3 PhD |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \mathrm{AB} \\ 23 \mathrm{AM} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{AM} \\ & 4 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ |  | 20 BS |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{AB} \\ & 5 \mathrm{AM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \mathrm{BS} \\ & 1 \mathrm{MS} \\ & 2 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ | 10 AB | 62 MD | 4) $A B / B S$ <br> 31 AM/MS <br> 72 MD/PhD | 3,212 |
| 1964-65 | 1 PhD | 1 PhD |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \mathrm{AB} \\ 20 \mathrm{AM} \\ 5 \mathrm{PhD} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 A B \\ & 2 A M \\ & 2 P h D \end{aligned}$ |  | 19 BS |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \mathrm{AB} \\ & 6 \mathrm{AM} \end{aligned}$ | 10 BS 2 MS 2 PhD | 10 AB | 46 MD | 47 AB/BS <br> 30 AM/MS <br> 57 MD/PhD | 3,552 |
| 1965-66 | 1 AM | 2 PhD |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \mathrm{AB} \\ 23 \mathrm{AM} \\ 2 \mathrm{PhD} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{AM} \\ & 1 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ | 1 PhD | 9 BS | 1 PhD | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{AB} \\ & 9 \mathrm{AM} \end{aligned}$ | 2 BS <br> 1 MS <br> 2 PhD | $\begin{aligned} & 4 A B \\ & 1 A M \end{aligned}$ | 54 MD | 18 AB/BS 36 AH/MS 63 MD/PhD | 3,519 |
| 1966-67 | 1 PhD | 5 PhD | 1 PhD | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \mathrm{AB} \\ 27 \mathrm{AM} \\ 5 \mathrm{PhD} \end{array}$ | $2 A B$ <br> 3 AM <br> 4 PhD |  | 24 BS | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { AM } \\ & 1 \text { PhD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{AB} \\ & 5 \mathrm{AM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{MS} \\ & 2 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ | 8 AB | 48110 | 38 AB/BS 38 AM/MS 67 MD/PhD | 3,684 |
| 1967-68 | 1 PhD | 1 PhD | 1 PhD | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \mathrm{AB} \\ 22 \mathrm{AM} \\ 2 \mathrm{PhD} \end{array}$ | $4 A B$ 2 AM <br> 3 PhD | 2 PhD | 21 BS | 1 PhD | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \mathrm{AB} \\ & 2 \mathrm{AH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{BS} \\ & 3 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ | $2 A B$ | 61 MD | 37 AB/BS <br> 26 AM/MS <br> 75 MD/PhD | 3,913 |
| 1968-69 | 1 PhD | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{MS} \\ & 1 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ | 1 PhD | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{AM} \\ & 3 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{AB} \\ & 2 \mathrm{AA} \\ & 4 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ | 1 PhD | 18 BS | $8 \text { Pho }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \mathrm{AB} \\ & 5 \mathrm{AM} \end{aligned}$ | 2 PhD | 4 AB | 61 MD | $\begin{gathered} 31 \mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{BS} \\ 9 \mathrm{AM} / \mathrm{MS} \\ 82 \mathrm{MD} / \mathrm{PhD} \end{gathered}$ | 3,694 |
| 1969-70 | 1 PhD | 3 PhD | 1 PhD | 7 PhD | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{AB} \\ & 1 \mathrm{AH} \\ & 3 \mathrm{AhD} \end{aligned}$ | 2 PhD | 23 BS | 2 PhD | 4 AM | 2 PhD | 3 AB | 69 MD | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{BS} \\ & 5 \mathrm{AM} / \mathrm{MS} \\ & 90 \mathrm{MD} / \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ | 3,693 |
| 1970-71 | 5 PhD | 6 PhD | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{MS} \\ & 1 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ | 4 PhD | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \mathrm{AB} \\ & 2 \\ & 6 \mathrm{AM} \\ & 6 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ | $3 \text { PhD }$ | 14 BS | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \text { AM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 9 AM |  |  | 69 MD | 15 AB/BS 13 AM/MS 96 MD/PhD | 3,950 |
| 1971-72 |  | 6 PhD | 2 PhD | 3 PhD | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{MS} \\ & 2 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ | 1 MS 6 PhD | 26 BS | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{AM} \\ & 2 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ | 11 AM | 2 PhD | 2 AB | 75 MD | 28 AB/BS <br> 16 AM/MS <br> 98 MD/FhD | 4,105 |
| 1972-73 |  | 3 PhD |  | 1 PhD | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{AB} \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \mathrm{MS} \\ & 2 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ | 3 PhD | 18 BS | $1 \text { PhD }$ | 9 AM | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{MS} \\ & 1 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ | 2 AB | 89 MD | $\begin{gathered} 21 \mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{BS} \\ 11 \mathrm{AM} / \mathrm{MS} \\ 100 \mathrm{MD} / \mathrm{PhD} \end{gathered}$ | 4.151 |
| 1973-74 |  | 3 PhD | 4 PhD | 2 PhD | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \mathrm{BS} \\ & 2 \mathrm{MS} \\ & 2 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{MS} \\ & 2 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ | 18 BS | 1 PhD | 14 AM | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{MS} \\ & 1 \mathrm{PhD} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \mathrm{AB} \\ & 8 \mathrm{MS} \end{aligned}$ | 76 MD | 26 AB/BS <br> 26 AM/MS <br> 9) MD/PhD | 4,080 |
| 1974-75 |  | 5 PhD | 1 PhD | 1 PhD | 8 BS | 4 PhD |  | 6 AM | 17 AM | 3 PhD | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \mathrm{AB} \\ 17 \mathrm{MS} \end{array}$ | 81. MD | $11 A B / B S$ 40 AM/MS 95 MD/PhD | 4,020 |

Source: Jo Riolo, Statistician, University Registrar's Office (1959-60 - present).
Notes: Hearing and Speech Sciences includes Speech Pathology \& Audiology (1959-60-1968-69, except 1 PhD in 1967-68 and 3 PhD's
in 1968-69), Sperch \& Hearing Sciences ( 1 Ph 0 in 1967-68, 3 PhD's in 1968-69, 7 PhD's in 1969-70), and Hearing \& Speech
Sciences (1970-71 - present).
Other includes Ab's in Basic Medical Sciences, AM's in Medical Sciences, and MS's in Health Services Administration.
$A B=$ Bachelor of Arts.
$B S=$ Bachelor of science.
AN $=$ Master of Arts.
MS $=$ Master of sripace.
$M D=$ Doctor of Pedicine.
Pho $=$ Doctor of Philusophy.

TABLE 4: MEDICAL SCHOOL COURSES TAKEN BY NON-MEDICAL SCHOOL STUOENTS, BY UNOERGRADUATE/GRADUATE STATUS, MAJOR, ANO MEDICAL SCHOOL DEPARTMENT OFFERING COURSE, 1972-73 - Page 1


| Major |  | Department Offering Course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Anat | Anes | Bioc | FCPM | Gene | Micro | Med | Neuro | Path | Peds | Pharm | Physio | Psych |  | urg | Inde | TOTAL <br> UnITS |
| Political Science: | UG | 5 |  |  | 4 |  | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 3 |  |  |  | 25 |
|  | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Psychology: | UG | 4 |  | 25 | 3 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 33 |  | 4 | 5 | 80 |
|  | 6 | 7 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 11 |  |  |  | 46 |
| Social Thought...: | UG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |
| Computer Science: | UG |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
|  | G |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| School of Law: | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 |  |  |  | 33 |
| Geology: | UG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |
| - | G |  |  | 10 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |
| TOTAL: | UG | 90 | 6 | 695 | 47 | 51 | 250 | 27 | 2 | 49 | 12 | 40 | 69 | 192 | 8 | 28 |  | 1,585 |
|  | G | 131 |  | 476 | 161 | 6 | 35 | 10 | 10 | 72 | 9 | 53 | 100 | 87 | 9 | 1 |  | 1,161 |
|  | UG + G | 221 | 61 | , 171 | 208 | 57 | 285 | 37 | 12 | 121 | 21 | 93 | 169 | 279 | 17 | 29 |  | 2.76E |

Source: University Fegistrar's Office records as compiled by Medical School Student Affairs Office.

```
Notes: }\quad\mathrm{ Anat = Anator:y
    Anes = Anesthesia
    Bioc = Biochemistry
    FCPM = Family, Conmunity, and Preventive Medicine
    Gene = Genetics
    Micro= Medical Microbiology
    Med = Medicine
    Neuro= Neurology
    Path = Pathology
    Peds = Pediatrics
    Pharm= Pharracology
    Pharm= Pharracology
    Physio=Physiology
    Rad = Radiology
    Surg = Surgery
    Inde - Interdepartmental
    UG = Undergraduate
    G = Graduate
```

TABLE 5: TEACHING BY MEDICAL SCHOOL FACULTY OF NON-MEDICAL SCHOOL STUDENTS AND TEACHING BY NON-MEDICAL SCHOOL FACULTY OF MEDICAL SCHOOL STUDENTS, 1973-74

Total Units
Line
(and FTE's)

Medical School faculty teaching non-Medical School students:
In Medical School courses

| $A$ | 3,455 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $B$ | 14,263 |
| $C=A+B$ | 17,718 |

In non-Medical School courses
$C=A+B$
17,718

Non-Medical School faculty teaching Medical School students:
In non-Medical School courses
D
2,262.5
In Medical School courses
$E=D+E$
102
$\frac{(2)}{(53)}$
$\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}$
15,353.5 (341)

Net

Source: Computer file of University Registrar's Office data accessed by Analytical Studies.

Notes: $F$ FTE $=1$ full-time student $=45$ units per academic year.


TABLE 6: NON-MEDICAL SCHOOL COURSES TAUGHT BY MEDICAL SCHOOL FACULTY TO MEDICAL SCHOOL AND NON-MEDICAL SCHOOL STUDENTS,

TABLE 6: NON-MEDICAL SCHOOL COURSES TAUGHT BY MEDICAL SCHOOL FACULTY TO MEDICAL SCHOOL AND NON-MEDICAL SCHOOL STUDENTS,
TABLE 6: NON-MEDICAL SCHOOL COURSES TAUGHT BY MEDICAL SCHOOL FACULTY TO MEDICAL SCHOOL AND NON-MEDICAL SCHOOL STUDENTS,
Units - Equals total units received by all students in category for courses taken in department indicated.
FTE's - Equals 1 full-time student, i.e., 45 units per academic year.


TABLE 7: NON-MEDICAL SCHOOL COURSES TAUGHT BY NON-MEDICAL SCHOOL FACULTY TO MEDICAL SCHOOL STUDENTS,


$2262.5$

TABLE 7: NON-MEDICAL SCHOOL COURSES TAUGHT BY NON-MEDICAL SCHOOL FACULTY TO MEDICAL SCHOOL STUDENTS,


```
TABLE 8: INVOLVEMENT OF MEDICAL SCHOOL FACULTY IN FRESHMAN SEMINARS
```

|  | Total <br> Number <br> of <br> Courses | Number <br> Taught By <br> Medical <br> School <br> Faculty | Title of Course (and Department of Medical School Faculty Member) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Natural <br> Sciences: | 28 | 10 | Changing Pattern of Human Surgical Disease (Surgery) |
|  |  |  | Topics in Chemical Ecology (Biochemistry) |
|  |  |  | For the Self-Doubting Premedical Student (Medicine) |
|  |  |  | Psychophysiology of Altered State of Consciousness (Physiology) |
|  |  |  | Alcohol (Pharmacology) |
|  |  |  | Thanatology and Homotransplantation (Psychiatry) |
|  |  |  | Science, Drugs, and Society (Pharmacology) |
|  |  |  | View of Genetics and Geneticists (Genetics) |
|  |  |  | Man and Evolution (Psychiatry) |
|  |  |  | Skin through History (Dermatology) |
| Social |  |  |  |
| Sciences: | 23 | 5 | The Evils of Sociological Planning (Surgery) |
|  |  |  | Medicine in the New Society (Medicine) |
|  |  |  | Evolution and the Twentieth Century (Psychiatry) |
|  |  |  | Perspectives in Medicine (Medicine) |
|  |  |  | Mind and Brain: Problems in Internal Ecology (Psychiatry) |
| Humanities: | 27 | 3 | Jewish Life and Tradition in Eastern Europe (Medical Microbiology) |
|  |  |  | Charlie Chaplin and Silent Film Comedy (Pediatrics) |
|  |  |  | Novelist as Medical Savant (Medicine and Physiology) |
| Writing |  |  |  |
| Requirement: | 9 | - |  |
| Total | 87 | 18 |  |

Source: Approaching Stanford - 1973.

TABLE 9: INVOLVEMENT OF MEDICAL SCHOOL FACULTY IN STANFORD WORKSHOPS ON POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ISSUES, 1974-75

Of the 65 offerings listed in the SWOPSI publications eight had Medical School sponsors (department of sponsor indicated in parenthesis):

Peer Counseling Techniques in Drop-in Centers - offered three quarters (Medicine)
Working in Behavior Modification Classrooms for Young Handicapped Children

- offered two quarters (Psychiatry)

Volunteer Work with Autistic and Schizophrenic Children (Psychiatry)
Contraceptive Counseling (Medicine)
Medicine and Its Alternatives (Medicine)

Source: Quarterly SWOPSI course listings.

TABLE 10: FACULTY WITH APPOINTMENTS IN BOTH MEDICAL SCHOOL AND NON-MEDICAL SCHOOL DEPARTMENTS, as of $9 / 1 / 74$

| Number of Faculty | Primary Department | Secondary Department |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Anthropology | Pediatrics (by courtesy) |
| 1 | Family, Community \& Preventive Medicine (FC\&PM) | Statistics (by courtesy) |
| 3 | Statistics | FC\&PM |
| 1 | Graduate School of Business (GSB) | FC\&PM (by courtesy) |
| 1 | Economics | FC\&PM |
| 1 | FC\&PM | GSB (by courtesy) |
| 1 | Genetics | Biological Sciences |
| 1 | FC\&PM | Economics (by courtesy) |
| 1 | Electrical Engineering | Radiology |
| 1 | Psychiatry \& Behavioral Sciences | Psychology |
| 1 | Psychology | Surgery (by courtesy) |
| 1 | Biological Sciences | Pharmacology |
| 1 | Physics | Radiology (by courtesy) |
| 1 | Sociology | FC\&PM (by courtesy) |
| 1 | Psychiatry \& Behavioral Sciences | ```Psychology School of Education (by courtesy)``` |
| 1 | Engineering-Economic Systems | FC\&PM (by courtesy) |
| 1 | Medicine | Biological Sciences |

Source: Office of the Medical School Associate Dean for Faculty Affairs. Notes: Appointments are joint unless otherwise indicated, i.e., by courtesy.
TABLE 11: ANALYSIS OF EXPENDITURES BY SCHOOL AND FUNCTION, 1973-74

|  |  | Instruction |  |  |  | Research |  | Tota | al Expendit | ures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Operating Budget | Outside Operating Budget | Total |  | rating <br> get | Outside Operating Budget | Total | Operating Budget | Outside Operating Budget | Total | Percent Outside |
| Graduate School of Business | \$ 2,741 | \$ 719 | \$ 3,460 | \$ | 8 | \$ 169 | \$ 177 | \$ 2,749 | \$ 888 | \$ 3,637 | 24\% |
| Earth Sciences | 1,195 | 184 | 1,379 |  | 8 | 592 | 600 | 1,203 | 776 | 1,979 | 39 |
| Education | 1,452 | 815 | 2,267 |  | 21 | 1,482 | 1,503 | 1,473 | 2,297 | 3,770 | 60 |
| Engineering | 4,868 | 1,159 | 6,007 |  | 41 | 7,432 | 7,473 | 4,909 | 8,591 | 13,500 | 63 |
| Humanities and Sciences | 13,941 | 3,022 | 16,963 |  | 73 | 8,129 | 8,202 | 14,014 | 11,151 | 25,165 | 44 |
| Law | 2,068 | 220 | 2,288 |  | 9 | 211 | 220 | 2,077 | 431 | 2,508 | 17 |
| Medicine | 6,745 | 8,329 | 15,074 |  | 124 | 17,482 | 17,606 | 6,869 | 25,811 | 32,680 | 79 |
| Not designated to schools | 4,196 | 1,301 | 5,497 |  | 527 | 9,231 | 9,758 | 4,723 | 10,532 | 15,255 | 69 |
| Totals | \$37,206 | \$15,749 | \$52,955 | \$ | 811 | \$44,728 | \$45,539 | \$38,017 | \$60,477 | \$98,494 | 61\% |
| Source: Office of the Associate Controller of the University. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes: Figures are in thousands of dollars. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 12: FUNDED SPONSORED RESEARCH BASED IN THE MEDICAL SCHOOL INVOLVING EITHER A CO-INVESTIGATOR OR DESIGNATED CONSULTANT IN ANOTHER SCHOOL, AS OF $8 / 75$ - Page 1
Title
Pion Radiotherapy: Preclinic
Physics \& Radiobiology
Micropuncture Studies of the
Mammalian Renal Medulla
Academic Career Development
Award in Digestive Diseases
Superconducting Magnetometry
for Detection of Heart Disease
Computer-Based Consultations in
Clinical Therapeutics

A Quantitative Study of
Anesthetic \& Related Drugs

Biomedical Technology Transfer

High Frequency NMR Biotechnical
Resource
Amphibian Toxins, Chemistry \& Pharmacology

Physiology of the Oviduct

Stanford University
Medical Experimental Computer Facility

Resource-Related Research -
Computers \& Chemistry

Cytochemical Studies of Planetary Microorganisms

| Investigator | Department |
| :---: | :---: |
| PI | Radiology |
| Co-I | Radiology |
| Co-I | Physics |
| PI | Medicine |
| Co-I | Medicine |
| Co-I | Chemical Engineering |
| PI | Medicine |
| Cons - 4 | Medicine |
| Cons | Pathology |
| Cons | Statistics and Family, Community \& Preventive Medicine (FC\&PM) |

Physics
Medicine
Medicine

Computer Science
Anesthesia
Biological Sciences
FC\&PM and Statistics
Medicine
Electrical Engineering
Pharmacology
Chemistry
Chemistry
Physiology
Surgery
Electrical Engineering
Genetics and Biological Sciences Computer Science

Chemistry
Genetics and Biological Sciences
Computer Science
Genetics and Biological Sciences
Genetics
Chemi stry
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| Title | Investigator | Department |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A Controlled Clinical Trial of | PI | Medicine |
| Acetyl Salicylic Acid \& C.S. | Co-I |  |
| Acid-Dipyrimad. on Incidence of | Co-I | Surgery |
| Post-Op. Thromboemb. in Hip | Cons | Statistics and FC\&PM |
| Replace. Patients | Cons | Medicine |
| Artherosclerosis Behavioral \& Epidemiological Studies | PI | Medicine |
|  | Co-I - 3 |  |
|  | Co-I - 2 | Communications |
|  | Cons | FC\&PM and Statistics |
| Pathophysiology of Hemophilia | PI | Medicine |
|  | Cons | Pediatrics |
|  | Cons | Statistics and FC\&PM |
| Impact of Hospital Characteristics on Surgical Outcomes \& Length of Stay | PI | Anesthesia |
|  | Co-I | FC\&PM and Statistics |
|  | Co-I | Sociology and FC\&PM |
| Studies of the Determinants of Service Intensity in Medical Care Sector | PI | Anesthesia |
|  | Co-I | FC\&PM and Statistics |
|  |  |  |

Source: Medical School Business and Finance Office, Grants and Contracts Section.
Notes: $\quad$ PI $=$ Principal Investigator.
Co-I = Co-Investigator.
Cons $=$ Consultant.

| Total <br> Member- <br> ship | Medical <br> Center <br> Members | Committee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | 9 | Seventh Senate of the Academic Council |
| 7 | 1 | Advisory Board |
|  |  | Senate Committees: |
| 7 | 1 | Steering Committee |
| 8 | 1 | Committee on Committees |
| 10 | 3 | Floor Management Committee for the Report of the Committee on the Professoriate at Stanford |
| 5 | 2 | Committee of Tellers |
|  |  | Committees of the Academic Council: |
| 13 | 1 | Committee on Academic Appraisal and Achievement |
| 14 | 2 | Committee on Research |
| 11 | 1 | Committee on Undergraduate Studies |
| 12 | 1 | Subcommittee on Advising |
| 16 | - | Other subcommittees ( $\mathrm{N}=2$ ) |
| 91 | - | Other Committees ( $\mathrm{N}=9$ ) |
|  |  | University Committees: |
| 12 | 2 | Committee on Computation Facilities |
| 12 | 1 | Committee on the Education and Employment of Women in the University |
| 12 | 1 | Committee on Faculty and Staff Affairs |
| 15 | 1 | Committee on Land and Building Development |
| 63 | - | Other committees and subcommittees ( $\mathrm{N}=6$ ) |
| 31 | - | Presidential Commissions |
|  |  | Administrative Panels: |
| 7 | 1 | Panel on External Affirmative Action |
| 11 | 4 | Panel on Health and Safety |
| 3 | 1 | Subpanel on Biocides |
| 8 | 6 | Subpanel on Biohazard Control |
| 1 | - | Panel on Human Subjects in Research |
| 13 | 1 | Behavioral Science Subpanel |
| 17 | 13 | Medical Subpanel |
| 10 | 8 | Panel on Laboratory Animal Care |
| 7 | 2 | Panel on Privacy of Information |
| 15 | 5 | Panel on Radiological Hazards |


| Total <br> Member- <br> ship | Medical <br> Center <br> Members | Committee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Administrative Panels (continued): |
| 14 | 2 | Panel on Reviewing Officers for Level III of Grievance Procedure for Graduate Students Employed in Academic Functions at Stanford University |
| 10 | 1 | University Library Council |
| 33 | - | Other panels ( $\mathrm{N}=5$ ) |
|  |  | Legislative and Judicial Bodies for Student Conduct: |
| 7 | 1 | Campus Judicial Panel |
| 15 | 1 | Committee of Fifteen |
| 11 | - | Other bodies ( $\mathrm{N}=1$ ) |
|  |  | Trustee Committees: |
| 4 | 1 | Committee on Academic Affairs |
| 11 | - | Other committees ( $\mathrm{N}=4$ ) |
|  |  | Unclassified: |
| 3 | 1 | Stanford Chapter of American Association of University Professors |
| 8 | 1 | Faculty Volunteer Group for The Campaign for Stanford |
| 9 | 2 | Board of Hospital Directors |
| 14 | 1 | Special Agency on Stanford Workshops on Political and Social Issues |
| 12 | 2 | Board of Directors of the Stanford Faculty Club |
| 78 | - | Other ( $\mathrm{N}=9$ ) |
| 725 | 81 | Total |

Source: "Rosters Published for Stanford Faculty, Staff, and Students by the Office of the Academic Secretary (as of December 1, 1974)."
Notes: Figures include ex officio members.
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STANFORD APPLICANT POOL, 1974-75

| Applicants: | Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-minority | 3,212 | 1,045 (25\%) | 4,257 |
| Minority | 289 | 111 (28\%) | 400 |
|  | 3,501 | 1,156 (25\%) | $\overline{4,657}$ |
| Offered places: |  |  |  |
| Non-minority | 81 | 40 (33\%) | 121 |
| Minority | 13 | 13 (50\%) | 26 |
|  | 94 | 53 (36\%) | 147 |

INTERVIEWS CONDUCTED BY ADMISSION COMMITTEE, 1974-75

| Interviews: | Non-Minority |  | Minority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At Stanford | 335 |  | Total |
| Regional | $\frac{269}{604}$ | $\frac{47}{112}$ |  |
|  |  | $\frac{300}{716}$ |  |

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF ACCEPT GROUP
(at time of application)

| 20 yrs . | 20-25 yrs. | 26-30 yrs. | $30+\mathrm{yrs}$. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 140 | 7 | - | 155 |
| 6 | 129 | 9 | 3 | 147 |

MAJORS REPRESENTED IN ACCEPT GROUP

|  | 1973-74 |  | 1974-75 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate Major | Applicants | Accepts | Applicants | Accepts |
| Biological sciences | 51\% | 61\% | 51\% | 57\% |
| Physical sciences \& math. | 18\% | 17\% | 19\% | 20\% |
| Behavioral sciences | 10\% | 4\% | 9\% | 6\% |
| Social sciences | 5\% | 7\% | 3\% | 7\% |
| Literature \& philosophy | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 9\% |
| Engineering | 4\% | 2\% | 8\% | - |
| Others | 6\% | 5\% | 5\% | 1\% |
| GRADUATE EXPERIENCE IN ACCEPT GROUP Teaching |  |  |  |  |
|  | Doctorate | Master's | Credential | Total |
| Entering Sept. 1974 ( $\mathrm{N}=155$ ) | 2 | 10 | 1 | 13 |
| Entering Sept. 1975 ( $\mathrm{N}=147$ ) | 10 | 7 | - | 17. |
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UNDERGRADUATE COLLEGES REPRESENTED IN ACCEPT GROUP
The following institutions were represented by five or more students among the 147 accepted:
Harvard
Stanford
U.C.L.A.
Yale

The following institutions were represented by two to four students:
Antioch
Tufts
Brown
U.C., Berkeley

Cal. State Univ., Los Angeles
U.C., Irvine

Johns Hopkins
U.C., San Diego
M.I.T.
U.C., Santa Cruz

New York University University of Michigan
Pomona Wellesley
Radcliffe
One student has been accepted from each of the following institutions:
Amherst College
Princeton University
Arizona State University
Boston University
Brandeis University
Brigham Young University
California Inst. of Technology
California Polytechnic State
Cornell University
Dartmouth College
San Jose State University
Smith College
Southern Uni versity
Stanislaus State College
S.U.N.Y., Buffalo

Syracuse University

Duke University
Emory University
Georgetown University
Howard University
Immaculate Heart College
Marquette University
Mary Washington College (Va.)
Michigan State University
Morehouse College
New Mexico State University
Northwestern University
Notre Dame University
Oberlin College
Union College
U.C., Davis

University of Chicago
University of Maryland
University of New Mexico
University of Oregon
University of the Pacific
University of Pennsylvania
University of Southern California
University of Santa Clara
University of Vienna (Austria)
University of Wisconsin
Vassar College
Wes leyan University
Ohio State University
Whitman College

A total of 64 schools are represented in the accept group.

Source: Medical School Admission Committee Office.
Notes: Data compiled on the 147 applicants accepted by the Committee as of July 1.

TABLE 15: MEDICAL CENTER HOUSESTAFF AND POSTDOCTORAL FELLOWS, 1959-60 - PRESENT

| Academic Year | Postdoctoral Fellows (PDF) |  |  | Housestaff (HS) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\neq \mathrm{HS}$ | $=\mathrm{HS}$ | Total | Interns | R | sident |  | Interns + Residents |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\not \equiv \mathrm{PDF}$ | $=\mathrm{PDF}$ | Total |  |
| 1959-60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1960-61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1961-62 | 65 |  |  | 15 |  |  | 175 | 190 |
| 1962-63 | 116 |  |  | 19 |  |  | 184 | 203 |
| 1963-64 | 151 |  |  | 19 |  |  | 203 | 222 |
| 1964-65 | 150 |  |  | 24 |  |  | 198 | 222 |
| 1965-66 | 151 |  |  | 32 |  |  | 216 | 248 |
| 1966-67 | 168 | 40 | 208 | 39 | 187 | 40 | 227 | 266 |
| 1967-68 | 141 | 41 | 182 | 38 | 191 | 41 | 232 | 270 |
| 1968-69 | 203 | 65 | 268 | 39 | 182 | 65 | 247 | 286 |
| 1969-70 | 199 | 66 | 265 | 43 | 188 | 66 | 254 | 297 |
| 1970-71 | 218 | 59 | 277 | 39 | 181 | 59 | 240 | 279 |
| 1971-72 | 212 | 59 | 271 | 48 | 181 | 59 | 240 | 288 |
| 1972-73 | 222 | 43 | 265 | 45 | 227 | 43 | 270 | 315 |
| 1973-74 | 232 | 45 | 277 | 49* | 254 | 42 | 296 | 345 |
| 1974-75 | 231 | 41 | 272 | 56 | 268.5 | 41 | 309.5 | 365.5 |
| 1975-76 | 251 | - | 251 | 60 | 325 | - | 325 | 385 |

Source: Marjorie Johnson, Medical School Special Reports Office (1959-60-1965-66); Rosemary Hornby, Medical School Personnel Office (1966-67-1972-73); Helen Rantz, Director, Housestaff Office, and Joan Tang, Medical School Business and Finance Office (1973-74 - present).
Notes: * 3 of 49 were also postdoctoral fellows.

TABLE 16: MEDICAL CENTER HOUSESTAFF, BY DEPARTMENT AND HOSPITAL ASSIGNMENT, 1974-75 (as of 9/1/74) - Page 1

|  |  | SUH | PAVAH | CVMC | ther |  | Tota |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anesthesia: | Interns Residents | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 17 \end{array}$ | 5 | 2 |  | Children's Hospital of the East Bay | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | (2) |
| Cardiovascular |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surgery: | Residents | 6 |  |  |  |  | 6 | (1) |
| Dermatology: | Residents | 6 | 3 | 1 | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 \mathrm{Cl} \\ 1 & \mathrm{~K} \\ 1 \mathrm{~Pa} \end{array}$ | Chope <br> Kaiser - Santa Clara Pacific Medical Center | 13 |  |
| Gynecology \& 750 Kesidents 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medicine: | Interns Residents | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 6 15 | 2 |  | Chope | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Neurology: | Residents | 7 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 12 | (5) |
| Pathology: | Interns Residents | 4 9 | 1 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 12 \end{array}$ |  |
| Pediatrics: | Interns | 7 |  | 1 |  | Children's Hospital at Stanford | 11 |  |
|  | Residents | 14 |  | 6 |  | Children's Hospital at Stanford Kaiser - Santa Clara Cowell | 26 |  |
| Psychiatry: | Interns Residents | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | 3 13 | 1 |  | 5 Children's Health Council <br> Park Alameda Hospital Cowell | 6 36.5 | (11) |
| Radiology: | Interns Residents | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 24 \end{array}$ | 9 | 1 |  | Children's Hospital at Stanford Sequoia | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 36 \end{array}$ | (13) |
| Surgery: | Interns Residents | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | 18 | 126 | 1 France <br> 2 Children's Hospital <br> at Stanford <br> 1 Chope <br> 1 R. K. Johnson - San Jose <br> 1 Kaiser - Hawaii <br> 1 Kaiser - Hayward <br> 1 Queen's Hospital - Hawaii <br> 1 Mexico City <br> 1 San Joaquin |  | 1493 | (9) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 16: MEDICAL CENTER HOUSESTAFF, BY DEPARTMENT AND HOSPITAL ASSIGNMENT, 1974-75 (as of 9/1/74) - Page 2

|  |  | SUH |  | PAVAH | SCVMC | Other |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total: |  | 38 | 11 | 3 | 4 | Total |
|  | Interns | 360 | 70 | 49 | 30.5 | 56 |
|  | Residents | 160 | 70 | 309.5 (41) |  |  |
|  | Total | 198 | 81 | 52 | 34.5 | 365.5 (41) |

Source: Helen Rantz, Director, Housestaff Office.
Notes: SUH = Stanford University Hospital. PAVAH = Palo Alto Veterans Administration Hospital. SCVMC = Santa Clara Valley Medical Center.
Numbers in parentheses indicate portion of total who are also postdoctoral fellows.


Source: Joan Tang, Medical School Business \& Finance Office; Helen Rantz, Director, Housestaff Office.
Notes: Numbers in parentheses indicate portion of total who are also housestaff.

Internship and Residency Programs<br>Anesthesiology<br>Dermatology<br>Internal Medicine<br>Neurological Surgery<br>Neurology<br>Nuclear Medicine<br>Obstetrics-Gynecology<br>Ophthalmology<br>Orthopedic Surgery<br>Otolaryngology<br>Pathology - Anatomic, Clinical<br>Pediatrics<br>Pediatric Allergy<br>Pediatric Cardiology<br>Physical Medicine \& Rehabilitation<br>Plastic Surgery<br>Psychiatry<br>Psychiatry - Child Psychiatry<br>Radiology - Diagnostic<br>Radiology - Therapeutic<br>Surgery<br>Thoracic Surgery<br>Urology<br>Postdoctoral Fellowship Programs<br>Anes thesia<br>Dermatology<br>Gynecology and Obstetrics<br>Medical Microbiology<br>Medicine<br>Cardiology<br>Clinical Pharmacology<br>Clinical Scholar<br>Gastroenterology<br>Hematology<br>Immuno logy<br>Infectious Diseases

Postdoctoral Fellowship Programs (cont.)
Internal Medicine
Nephrology
Oncology
Respiratory Medicine
Urology
Neurology
Clinical Neurology
Pediatrics
Allergy-Immunology-RheumatologyPulmonary Disease
Cardiology
Developmental Biology
Endocrinology-Metabolism
Gastroenterology
Genetics
Hematology-Oncology
Infectious Disease
Neonatology
Nephrology
Neurology
Teratology (Birth Defects)
Psychiatry
Mental Health
Radiology
Diagnostic Radiology
Nuclear Medicine
Radiation Therapy
Radiobiology
Surgery
Cardiovascular Surgery
General Surgery
Neurosurgery
Ophthalmology
Orthopedic Surgery
Otolaryngology
Plastic \& Reconstructive Surgery Thoracic Surgery

Source: Helen Rantz, Director, Housestaff Office, and 1974-75 Stanford Medical School Bulletin, Part II.

TABLE 20: COMPARISON OF UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL BEDS PER JUNIOR STUDENT AT STANFORD AND NINE COMPETITIVE MEDICAL

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Source: "Preliminary Report on Stanford University Hospital Expansion (Phase II)" submitted to the University } \\
& \text { Board of Trustees, June } 15,1973 \text {. } \\
& \text { Notes: * } 316=242 \text { full-time faculty beds }+ \text { community physician beds adjusted by teaching utilization } \\
& \text { intensity factor. }
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 21: CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION PROGRAM, 1969-70 - PRESENT

| Academic Year | Program | Attendance | Hours | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1969-70 | Intramural | 1,465 | 89.5 | 31,473 |
| 1970-71 | Intramural | 1,706 | 415 | 37,299 |
|  | Extramural |  | 120 | 3,600 |
|  |  |  |  | 40,899 |
| 1971-72 | Intramural | 1,841 | 552 | 49,036 |
|  | Extramural |  | 100 | 3,700 |
|  | Return Fellows | 1 | 80 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | 52,816 |
| 1972-73 | Intramural | 3,235 | 533 | 50,689 |
|  | Extramural |  | 90 | 4,840 |
|  | Return Fellows | 3 | 80 ea. | 240 |
|  |  |  |  | 55,769 |
| 1973-74 | Intramural | 2,630 | $\begin{aligned} & 550 \\ & 115 \end{aligned}$ | 43,137 |
|  | Extramural |  |  | 5,800 |
|  | Return Fellows | 8 |  | 440 |
|  |  |  |  | 49, $\overline{377}$ |
| 1974-75 | Intramural | 5,187 | $\begin{aligned} & 661.5 \\ & 118 \end{aligned}$ | 75,845 |
|  | Extramural |  |  | 5,800 |
|  | Return Fellows | 9 |  | 520 |
|  |  |  |  | 82,165 |

Source: Kathryne Borg, Executive Officer, Office of Postgraduate Medical Education.

Summary

| School | (Pages 2 \& 3) | 894 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Clinics | (Page 3) | 59 |
| Center-Wide | (Page 3) | 196 |
| Hospital | (Pages 4-9) | 2,637 |
| Total |  | $\underline{3,786}$ |

Source: Hospital data - William Gilmor, Hospital Personnel Department (LP-POS-21, "Actual Positions and Personne1" dated 9/30/74).
School, Clinics \& Center-Wide data - Diane Gunderson, Medical School Personnel Department (Staff Logs dated 9/74).

Notes: Hospital data - Includes all regular employees regardless of percentage of time employed. Full-time equivalent of 2,637 employees is approximately 2,214.
School, Clinics \& Center-Wide data - Includes all regular employees working half-time or more.



|  |  | $\text { Ma!へəy } 8 \text { s!scleut }$ |  | Guiluueld-aunzoaf! 4ody |  |  |  |  |  | Chaplaincy Service |  |  |  |  | East Nursery |  | [KG loburstory |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AA Degree Nurse | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - .. | - |
| Accounting Assistant | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Area Coordinator-llousckeeping | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Bed Control Clerk | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Bed Controller | - - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - |  |  | .. .- |  |
| Benefit-Compensation Analyst | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Benefit-Compensation Manager | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Blood Gas Technician | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Cafeteria Supervisor | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Cardiology Technician | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | 22 | - - | - |
| Cardiology Technician Supervisor | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - 1 | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Cardiology Technician Trainee | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | 1 | - - | - |
| Cardiovascular Engineer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - -- | - |
| Cardiovascular Process Nurse | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | . 5 | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Central Service Assistant | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | 33 | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Certified Inhalation Technician | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | .. |
| Chief Reservation Clerk | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Chief Respiratory Therapist | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Chief Technician (EEG) | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | 1 | - | - - | - |
| Chief Therapist (PT-OT) | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Clinical Instructor | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - 1 | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Clinical Laboratory Manager | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Clinical Nurse Coordinator | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Communications Operator | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | 9 | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Communications Supervisor | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | 1 | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Computer Digital Engineer | - - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Computer Operator-Supervisor | - - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Consultant | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Cook | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Coronary Care Physician | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Courier Driver | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Crafts Foreman | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Craftsman | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Darkroom Supervisor | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - 1 | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Darkroom Technician | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - ? | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Dialysis Technician | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Dietary Assistant | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Dietary Worker | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 23 | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Dietician | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Dietician Trainee | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Director | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | 1 | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Discharge Coordinator | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Dispatcher | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Dosimetry Technician | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Draftsperson | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | $-$ | - | - - |  |
| Emergency Service Training Coordinator | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | $\therefore$ | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | i |  |
| Employment Manager | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Employment Representative | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Engineer | - - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Equipment SupervisorRespiratory Therapy |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Executive Housekeeper | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Food Service Manager | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Food Service Supervisor | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Gardener | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| General Foreman | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Hospital Technician | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | 2 | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Housekeeper | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Housekeeping Assistant | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Housekeeping Specialist | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | -. | - | - | - - |  |
| Infection Control Supervisor | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Information Supervisor | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | $\div$ | - | - | - |  |
| Infusion Service Supervisor | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Inhalation Technician | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| Inhalation Therapy Aide | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Inservice Instructor | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Laboratory Assistant | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | 7 | - | - - | - | - | - | - E |  |
| Laboratory Technician | - - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - - | - | - | 17 | - | - - | - | - | - | - 3 | - |
| Laboratory Technologist | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | 151 | - | - - | - | - | - | $\varepsilon$ | - |
| Laboratory Technician Supervisor | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | 3 | - | - - | - | - | - | 2 |  |
| Laundry A.ssistant | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Laundry Coordinator | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | - - |  |
| Linen Assistant | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Licensed Vocational Nurse | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - - | - | - 1 | . | - | - | - - |  |

TABE 22: MEDICAL CENTER
STAF, BY 103 TTLE \&
DEPARTMENT, $1974-75-$

Hospital (Page ? of 6)

Joh Title
AA Dearee Nurse
Accounting Assistant
Area Coordinator-Housekeeping
Bed Control Clerk
Bed Controller
Benefit-Compensation Analyst
Benefit-Compensation Manager
Blood Gas Technician
Cafeteria Supervisor
Cardiology Technician
Cardiology Technician Supervisor
Cardiology Technician Trainee
Cardiovascular Engineer
Cardiovascular Process Nurse
Central Service Assistant
Certified Inhalation Technician
Chief Reservation Clerk
Chief Respiratory Therapist
Chief Technician (EEG)
Chief Therapist (PT-OT)
Clinical Instructor
Clinical Laboratory Manager
Clinical Nurse Coordinator
Communications Uperator
Communications Supervisor
Computer Digital Engineer
Computer Operator-Supervisor Consultant
Cook
Coronary Care Physician
Courier Driver
Crafts Foreman
Craftsman
Darkroom Supervisor
Darkroom Technician
Dialysis Technician
Dietary Assistant
Dietary Worker
Dietician
Dietician Trainee
Director
Discharge Coordinator
Dispatcher
Dosimetry Technician
Draftsperson
Emergency Service Training
Coordinator
Employment Manager
Employment Representative
Engineer
Equipment Supervisor-
Respiratory Therapy
Executive Housekeeper
Food Service Manager
Food Service Supervisor
Gardener
General Foreman
Hospital Technician
Housekeeper
Housekeeping Assistant
Housckeeping Specialist
Infertion Control Supervisor
Information Supervisor
Infusion Service Supervisor
Inhalation Technician
Inhalation Therapy Aide
Inservice Instructor
Laboratory Assistant
Laboratory Technician
Laboratory Technologist
Laboratory Technician Supervisor
Laundry Assistant
Laundry Coordinator
Linen Assistant
Licensed Vocational Nurse
or -

n<br>-



-
-

[^3]-     - 

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
. & -  \tag{11}\\
-\quad & -
\end{array}
$$




| ThUE 22: MLOICAL CLINTER <br>  <br> DEPARTMENT, 1974-75- <br> Fage 8 <br> Hospital (Page 5 of 6) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{E} \\ & \underset{\sim}{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3 } \\ & \text { L } \\ & \text { 笻 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $$ |  |  |  |  |  | 10:7eizstulumy bu!sinn | 5 $\vdots$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Machinist | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Maintenance Laborer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Manager-Inhalation Therapy | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Marager-Mail Service | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Master Locksmith | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - - |
| Medical Uirector | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - - |
| Messenger Service Supervisur | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Nuclear Medical Technician | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - - |
| Nurse | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | 19 | 572 | 2 | - | 98 |
| Nursing Adninistrative Supervisor | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - - |
| Nursing Assistant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 225 | - | - 4 | 48 |
| Nursing Budget Manager | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | -. | - | - | - | - - |
| Nursing Instructor | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | 6 | - | - | - - |
| Nursing Research Assistant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Nursing Supervisor | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Nutritionist | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Occupational Health \& Safety Officer | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Occupational Therapist | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\sim$ | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Occupational Therapist- <br> Supervisor | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Office Assistant . | - | 36 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Office Manager-Nursing | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Office Manager-Personnel | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Parking Lot Attendant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Patient Service Supervisor | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| PBX Operator | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Personnel Assistant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Personnel Training Coorainator | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Pharmacist | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Pharmacy Assistant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Pharmacy Intern | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Physical Therapist | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Physical Therapy Supervisor | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Planner-Architect | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Postal Courier | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Postal Meter Clerk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Postal Superintendent | - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | - - |
| Programmer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Pulmonary. Function Technician | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Pump Profusionist | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Radiation Therapy Supervisor | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Radiation Therapy Technician | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Records Administrator | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Recreation Therapist | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Registered EEG Technician | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Registered Inhalation Therapist | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Research Diet Aide | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - - |
| Research Diet Assistant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - - |
| Research Dietician | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Seamer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Secretary | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 10 | 1 | 7 | 1. | - | 4 | 1 - |
| Security Officer | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Specialist Typist | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Storekeeper | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Surgical Technician | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - - | - |  | - | - | - | - | 1 - |
| Training CoordinatorHousekeeping | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - - |
| Transcription SupervisorRadiology | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transportation \& Linen Manager | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| Transporter | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 33 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit Clerk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit Operations Manager | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - - | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-Ray Service Engineer | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - - | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| X-Ray Techrician | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-Ray Technician Supervisor | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL - HOSPITAL | 5 | 38 | 2 | 30 | 140 | 23 | 6 | 4 | 39 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 85 | 3 | 2 | 241 | 56 | 1 | 29 | 968 | 9 |  | 161 |


TABLE 23: HOSPITAL MEDICAL STAFF, 1959-60 - PRESENT


[^4]

TABLE 24: NET SQUARE FOOTAGE OF ACADEMIC RESERVE AND BREAKDOWN OF MEDICAL CENTER SPACE, 1974

Net Square Footage of Academic Reserve
Business
Earth Sciences
Education
Enginering
Humanities and Sciences
Law
Medicine
Independent Labs, Institutes, Other, SCIP
University. Central Libraries
General Classrooms - Registrar
Student Services
Other
$\quad$ Subtotal - Academic Facilities
Athletic and Recreation Facilities
Stanford University Hospital and Clinics
Student Residences
Total - Academic Reserve

Breakdown of Medical Center Space

| School of Medicine | 406,000 | 57 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Clinics | 32,000 | 5 |  |
| Hospital | $\underline{270,000}$ | $\underline{38}$ |  |
|  | Total | 708,000 | nsf |

Source: Susan Schofield, University Facilities Planning, Construction, and Utilization Office (2/74 University Space Inventory).

Notes: The Academic Reserve excludes land development areas (e.g., Shopping Center and Industrial Park), agricultural and undesignated area's, and nonUniversity owned buildings.


Source: Marjorie Johnson, Financial Analyst, Medical School Finance.

TABLE 26: VICE-PRESIDENT FOR MEDICAL AFFAIRS


Source: Stanford University Faculty Handbook, November 1975.

TABLE 27: OFFICERS OF THE UNIVERSITY


Source: Stanford University Faculty Handbook, November 1975.


Source: Stanford University Faculty Handbook, November 1975.

|  | At Stanford | At Other Locations | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic Science Departments: |  |  |  |
| Anatomy | 11 |  | 11 |
| Biochemistry | 11 |  | 11 |
| Genetics | 7 |  | 7 |
| Medical Microbiology | 10 |  | 10 |
| Pharmacology | 10 |  | 10 |
| Physiology | 10 |  | 10 |
| Subtotal - Basic Sciences | 59 |  | 59 |
| Clinical Science Departments: |  |  |  |
| Anesthesia | 25.5 | 5.5 | 31 |
| Cardiovascular Surgery | 4 |  | 4 |
| Dermatology | 7 | 1 | 8 |
| Family, Community \& Preventive Medicine |  |  |  |
| Biostatistics |  |  | 4 |
| Clinical Social Work |  |  | 10 |
| Health Services Administration |  |  | 2 |
| International Health |  |  | 1 |
| Preventive Medicine |  |  | 5 |
| Other |  |  | 4 |
|  | 26 |  | $\overline{26}$ |
| Gynecology and Obstetrics | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Medicine |  |  |  |
| Ambulatory Medicine |  |  | 8 |
| Cardiology |  |  | 12 |
| Clinical Pharmacology |  |  | 3 |
| Endocrinology |  |  | 6 |
| Gastroenterology |  |  | 7 |
| Hematology |  |  | 8 |
| Immunology |  |  | 6 |
| Infectious Diseases |  |  | 5 |
| Metabolic Diseases |  |  | 3 |
| Nephrology |  |  | 3 |
| Oncology |  |  | 3 |
| Respiratory Diseases |  |  | 4 |
| Other |  |  | $\frac{4}{72}$ |
|  | 56 | 16 | 72 |
| Neurology | 9 | 4 | 13 |
| Pathology | 19 | 5 | 24 |

TABLE 29: MEDICAL SCHOOL FACULTY, BY DIVISION AND LOCATION, 1974-75
(as of $9 / 1 / 74$ ) - Page ?
At At Other
Stanford Locations Total
Clinical Science Departments (continued):
Pediatrics ..... 18.5 ..... 5.5 ..... 24
Psychiatry \& Behavioral Sciences ..... 34
11 ..... 45
Radiology
Diagnostic Radiology ..... 22
Nuclear Medicine ..... 6
Radiation Therapy ..... 11
Radiobiology ..... 4
36 8 ..... $\frac{1}{44}$
Other
Surgery
General ..... 9
Neurosurgery ..... 3
Ophthalmology ..... 6
Orthopedics ..... 6
Otolaryngology ..... 7
Plastic \& Reconstructive ..... 4
Thoracic ..... 2
Urology ..... 8
Other ..... 34 ..... 12 ..... $\frac{1}{46}$
Subtotal - Clinical Sciences ..... 273
69 ..... 342
Physical Therapy:
Subtotal - Physical Therapy ..... 8 ..... 8
69 ..... 409

6

340

Source: Office of the Medical School Associate Dean for Faculty Affairs.
TABLE 30: MEDICAL SCHOOL FACULTY, BY RANK, 1959-60 - PRESENT

| TENURE LINE | $59-60$ 60-1 61-2 62-3 63-4 64-5 65-6 66-7 67-8 68-9 69-70 70-1 71-2 72-3 73-4 74-5 75-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 46 | 44 | 46 | 48 | 49 | 53 | 58 | 61 | 65 | 74 | 80 | 84 | 87 | 99 | 103 | 110 | 105 |
| Associate Professor | 8 | 9 | 14 | 18 | 21 | 28 | 39 | 42 | 44 | 49 | 46 | 50 | 49 | 48 | 40 | 41 | 42 |
| Assistant Professor | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Subtotal - Tenured | 55 | 54 | 66 | 72 | 76 | 87 | 102 | 108 | 113 | 127 | 130 | 136 | 138 | 149 | 145 | 152 | 148 |
| Non-Tenured |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Associate Professor | 18 | 20 | 24 | 27 | 29 | 25 | 20 | 17 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 10 |
| Assistant Professor | 27 | 43 | 47 | 66 | 75 | 93 | 95 | 99 | 112 | 125 | 117 | 110 | 104 | 104 | 103 | 100 | 106 |
| Instructor | 32 | 31 | 44 | 53 | 49 | 45 | 43 | 40 | 38 | 33 | 30 | 29 | 22 | 9 | 5 | 5 |  |
| Subtotal - Non-Tenured | 77 | 94 | 115 | 146 | 153 | 163 | 158 | 156 | 164 | 171 | 161 | 157 | 142 | 127 | 121 | 116 | 119 |
| SUBTOTAL - TENURE LINE | 132 | 148 | 181 | 218 | 229 | 250 | 260 | 264 | 277 | 298 | 291 | 293 | 280 | 276 | 266 | 268 | 267 |
| NON-TENURE LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adj. Prof. (R) - Sr. Sci. |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 16 | 14 |
| Adj. Prof. (T) - Sr. Lect. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Prof./Assoc. Prof. Clin. Subj |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 9 |
| Sr. Attending Physician |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  | 6 | 14 | 21 | 19 |
| Attending Physician |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 15 | 20 | 18 |
| (Total - "Academic Counci1") (132)(149)(182)(219)(230)(252)(263)(269)(285)(309)(304)(311)(299)(308)(314)(334)(330) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lecturer | 12 | 10 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 19 | 11 | 15 | 15 |
| Acting | 2 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 14 | 20 | 6 | 32 | 37 | 23 | 18 | 31 | 26 | 24 | 17 | 26 | 27 |
| Consulting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 7 | 10 |
| Visiting |  | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 26 | 8 | 10 | 21 | 13 | 14 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 13 | 19 |
| Emeritus (Active) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| By Courtesy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| SUBTOTAL - NON-TENURE LINE | 14 | 16 | 18 | 17 | 28 | 33 | 38 | 55 | 71 | 67 | 58 | 83 | 82 | 102 | 111 | 141 | 151 |
| TOTAL FACULTY | 146 | 164 | 199 | 235 | 257 | 283 | 298 | 319 | 348 | 365 | 349 | 376 | 362 | 378 | 377 | 409 | 418 |
| Physician Specialist |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 16 | 37 | 43 |

Source: Office of the Medical School Associate Dean for Faculty Affairs.
TABLE 31: PROFESSORS, ASSOCIATE PROFESSORS, \& ASSISTANT PROFESSORS, TOTAL UNIVERSITY \& MEDICAL SCHOOL: TOTALS,
Medical School as
a Percentage of
Total University

[^5]TABLE 32: FACULTY EFFORT REPORTS, 1975-76


Source: Medical School Business and Finance Office.
Notes: Data based on questionnaire distributed annually. Figures reflect responses from Medical School faculty based at Stanford only; faculty based at affiliated institutions, e.g., Santa Clara Valley Medical Center, are not included.
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1974-75 Salaries

| $\begin{gathered} 25 \text { th } \\ \text { Percentile } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \mathrm{th} \\ \text { Percentile } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Professors: | Number | Median | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$23,875 | \$ 32,650 | Business | 26 | \$27,700 | \$27,792 |
| 22,600 | 25,700 | Earth Sciences | 19 | 23,200 | 24,595 |
| 22,750 | 30,075 | Education | 20 | 25,100 | 26,280 |
| 22,000 | 27,500 | Engineering | 100 | 24,800 | 24,968 |
| 21,125 | 28,000 | H \& S - Humanities | 77 | 25,000 | 25,232 |
| 22,750 | 30,000 | H \& S - Social Sciences | 57 | 26,500 | 26,552 |
| 22,500 | 29,750 | H \& S - Sciences \& Math. | 76 | 25,500 | 26,135 |
| 27,438 | 34,250 | Law | 24 | 32,000 | 31,135 |
| 21,844 | 26,550 | Other | $\underline{21}$ | 24,450 | 24,789 |
| 22,500 | 29,000 |  | 420 | 25,500 | 25,984 |
| 26,044 | 36,375 | Medicine | 105 | 30,750 | 31,304 |
| 23,000 | 30,300 | All Schools Combined | 525 | 26,500 | 27,048 |
|  |  | Associate Professors: |  |  |  |
| \$18,075 | \$19,757 | Business | 12 | \$18,925 | \$19,216 |
| 17,500 | 21,000 | Earth Sciences | 7 | 19,500 | 19,364 |
| 18,112 | 20,850 | Education | 9 | 20,000 | 19,692 |
| 16,288 | 18,025 | Engineering | 12 | 17,400 | 17,279 |
| 15,250 | 17,938 | H \& S - Humanities | 42 | 16,875 | 16,810 |
| 17,000 | 19,288 | H \& S - Social Sciences | 22 | 18,000 | 18,236 |
| 16,750 | 19,000 | H \& S - Sciences \& Math. | 19 | 17,300 | 17,639 |
| 20,563 | 23,125 | Law | 8 | 21,625 | 21,938 |
| 15,188 | 17,875 | Other | 9 | 15,638 | 15,958 |
| 16,500 | 19,063 |  | 140 | 17,675 | 17,944 |
| 23,307 | 30,615 | Medicine | 50 | 26,571 | 26,211 |
| 17,000 | 21,750 | All Schools Combined | 190 | 18,300 | 20,120 |
|  |  | Assistant Professors: |  |  |  |
| \$15,373 | \$16,588 | Business | 14 | \$16,000 | \$16,032 |
| 14,100 | 16,575 | Education | 5 | 16,400 | 15,550 |
| 14,075 | 16,100 | Engineering | 22 | 15,350 | 15,457 |
| 12,000 | 13,950 | H \& S - Humanities | 55 | 13,000 | 13,028 |
| 12,500 | 14,200 | H \& S - Social Sciences | 35 | 13,950 | 13,606 |
| 12,000 | 14,200 | H \& S - Sciences \& Math. | 33 | 13,000 | 13,291 |
| 12,750 | 14,063 | Other | 6 | 13,282 | 13,219 |
| 12,750 | 15,000 |  | 174 | 13,750 | 13,912 |
| 20,250 | 24,000 | Medicine | 99 | 22,500 | 22,256 |
| 13,400 | 21,000 | All Schools Combined | $\underline{273}$ | 15,100 | 16,938 |
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Comparison of Mean Salaries - 1968-69 - 1974-75

| Professors: | 1968-69 | 1969-70 | 1970-71 | 1971-72 | 1972-73 | 1973-74 | 1974-75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business | \$20,479 | \$21,625 | \$22,564 | \$23,515 | \$24,919 | \$25,876 | \$27,792 |
| Earth Sciences | 18,899 | 19,105 | 20,075 | 20,790 | 21,921 | 23,263 | 24,595 |
| Education | 18,607 | 19,581 | 21,200 | 22,389 | 23,598 | 24,879 | 26,280 |
| Engineering | 19,757 | 20,775 | 21,520 | 21,899 | 22,531 | 23,544 | 24,968 |
| H \& S, Humanities | 19,104 | 19,994 | 20,319 | 21,055 | 22,192 | 23,114 | 25,232 |
| H \& S, Social Sciences |  |  | 21,649* | 22,490 | 23,385 | 24,760 | 26,552 |
| H \& S, Sciences \& Math. | 20,105 | 21,061 | 21,652 | 22,421 | 23,250 | 24,380 | 26,135 |
| Law | 23,583 | 25,288 | 26,413 | 27,800 | 28,735 | 29,767 | 31,135 |
| Medicine | 20,520 | 21,693 | 23,440 | 24,647 | 26,236 | 28,476 | 31,304 |
| Other |  |  |  | 21,815 | 22,596 | 23,777 | 24,789 |
| Combined Mean | \$19,833 | \$20,844 | \$21,833 | \$22,702 | \$23,815 | \$25,072 | \$27,048 |
| Associate Professors: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business | \$15,177 | \$16,350 | \$16,665 | \$16,862 | \$17,938 | \$18,000 | \$19,216 |
| Earth Sciences | 15,650 | 16,320 | 16,967 | 16,422 | 17,163 | 18,838 | 19,364 |
| Education | 15,033 | 13,250 | 14,967 | 16,171 | 17,457 | 18,138 | 19,692 |
| Engineering | 14,300 | 15,325 | 16,034 | 16,879 | 17,434 | 17,339 | 17,279 |
| $H \& S$, Humanities | 13,610 | 14,190 | 14,506 | 15,006 | 15,596 | 15,987 | 16,810 |
| H \& S, Social Sciences |  |  | 15,230* | 15,969 | 16,879 | 17,257 | 18,236 |
| H \& S, Sciences \& Math. | 14,257 | 14,708 | 15,050 | 15,271 | 15,733 | 16,658 | 17,699 |
| Law | 16,690 | 17,383 | 17,180 | 18,664 | 19,594 | 20,536 | 21, , |
| Medicine | 17,004 | 17,932 | 19,775 | 20,780 | 22,344 | 24,520 | 26,211 |
| Other |  |  |  | 13,748 | 14,538 | 15,288 | 15,958 |
| Combined Mean | \$15,011 | \$15,712 | \$16,831 | \$17,499 | \$18,355 | \$19,233 | \$20,120 |
| Assistant Professors: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business | \$12,206 | \$13,316 | \$13,707 | \$14,380 | \$14,913 | \$15,578 | \$16,032 |
| Education | 11,115 | 12,108 | 12,875 | 13,235 | 14,235 | 15,236 | 15,550 |
| Engineering | 12,445 | 13,550 | 13,757 | 14,127 | 14,404 | 14,706 | 15,457 |
| H \& S, Humanities | 10,341 | 10,960 | 11,221 | 11,723 | 12,267 | 12,614 | 13,028 |
| H \& S, Social Sciences |  |  | 11,880* | 11,841 | 12,539 | 13,013 | 13,606 |
| H \& S, Sciences \& Math. | 10,125 | 10,793 | 11,285 | 11,756 | 12,476 | 12,727 | 13,291 |
| Medicine | 14,140 | 14,960 | 16,605 | 16,935 | 18,114 | 20,049 | 22,256 |
| Other |  |  |  | 12,340 | 13,458 | 13,611 | 13,219 |
| Combined Mean | \$11,815 | \$13,011 | \$14,049 | \$14,118 | \$14,942 | \$15,696 | \$16,938 |

*Prior to 1970-71, Humanities and Social Sciences were combined because of lack of historical statistical data for the separation. The two years (1968-69 and 1969-70) comparative statistics are therefore inconsistent with the 1970-71, 1971-72, 1972-73, 1973-74 and 1974-75 Humanities and Social Sciences - Humanities data.

## TABLE 33: STANFORD UNIVERSITY ACADEMIC SALARIES - Page 3

Source: Office of Management and Financial Planning, Business and Finance.

## Notes:

1. Individuals on sabbatical leave or leave without salary have been included at their annual base rate.
2. "Visiting", "Acting", "Emeritus", "Part-Time", and "Open" appointments have been omitted.
3. "Split" appointments have been included in only one department, since 1970-71. In prior years, "Split" appointments were included in each department which had a portion of the salary.
4. Population for this report is based upon preliminary figures from the Academic Planning Office's 1974-75 Professional Faculty Data File, with the following adjustments:

$$
\begin{array}{cr}
\text { Total Professional Faculty Data File } & \\
\text { Base as of September 1, 1974 } & 1,033 \\
\text { Less Part-time appointments } & 22 \\
\text { Administration (incl. all deans of students) } & 19 \\
\text { Non-teaching associate deans } & 3 \\
\text { Professor with no salary information } & 1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Net Population for this Report
5. Academic salaries generally are on a nine-month basis. Appointments of longer duration have been adjusted to a nine-month equivalent.
6. In this report, H \& S - Humanities includes the following departments:
(1) Art; (2) Asian Languages; (3) Religious Studies; (4) Classics;
(5) Comparative Literature; (6) English; (7) French and Italian;
(8) History; (9) Music; (10) Philosophy; (11) Humanities Special Programs; (12) German; (13) Slavic Languages and Literature; (14) Spanish and Portugese; (15) Drama; and (16) Linguistics.

H \& S - Social Sciences includes the following departments: (1) Anthropology; (2) Communication; (3) Economics; (4) Political Science;
(5) Psychology; and (6) Sociology.

H \& S - Sciences and Mathematics includes the following departments:
(1) Biological Sciences; (2) Hopkins Marine Station; (3) Chemistry;
(4) Computer Science; (5) Mathematics; (6) Applied Physics; (7) Physics; and (8) Statistics.
7. In this report, "Other" includes the Food Research Institute, Physical Education, Physical Science, Overseas Campuses, and SLAC.
8. In order to protect the privacy of individuals, the salary dollars are not included for those units having less than five faculty members in a given rank. These salary dollars are included in the combined totals.
TABLE 34: RANK/AGE/TENURE DISTRIBUTION OF STANFORD PROFESSORIAL FACULTY, 1974-75 (as of 9/1/74) - Page 1

| School, Unit or Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Professors } \\ & \text { Total/Ave. Age } \end{aligned}$ |  | Associate Professors Total/Ave. Age/Tenured |  |  | Assistant Proís. Total/Ave. Age |  | Total | Ave. Age | All Ranks Tenured | $\frac{\text { mbined }}{n \text {-Tenured }}$ | \% Tenured |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business | 31 | 48 | 12 | 34 | 2 | 14 | 30 | 57 | 41 | 33 | 24 | 58 |
| Earth Sciences | 20 | 52 | $7^{9}$ | 40 | 4 | 3 | 33 | 30 | 47 | 24 | 6 | 80 |
| Education | 22 | 53 | 9 | 37 | 6 | $6^{10}$ | 35 | 37 | 46 | 28 | 9 | 76 |
| Engineering | 105 | 48 | 12 | 35 | 5 | $22^{9}$ | 32 | 139 | 45 | 110 | 29 | 79 |
| Humanities and Sciences | 223 | 50 | 86 | 39 | 76 | 131 | 32 | 440 | 42 | 300 | 140 | 68 |
| [H\&S--Humanities] ${ }^{3}$ | [81] | [51] | [45] | [41] | [42] | [56] | [34] | [182] | [43] | [124] ${ }^{13}$ | [ 58] | [ 68] |
| [HOS--Sciences and Math] ${ }^{4}$ | $[84]^{9}$ | [49] | $[19]^{9}$ | [36] | [15] | [33] | [30] | [136] | [42] | [ 99] | [ 37] | [ 73] |
| [H\&S--Social Sciences] ${ }^{5}$ | [58] | [50] | [22] | [36] | [19] | $[42]^{12}$ | [32] | [122] | [41] | [ 77] | [ 45] | [ 63] |
| Law | 26 | 45 | 8 | 36 | 1 |  | 29 | 35 | 43 | 27 | 8 | 77 |
| SUBTOTAL | 427 | 49 | 134 | 38 | 94 | 177 | 32 | 738 | 43 | 522 | 216 | 71 |
| Medicine | 107 | 51 | 50 | 43 | 42 | 101 | 36 | 258 | 43 | 150 | 108 | 58 |
| [Basic Sciences] ${ }^{6}$ | [24] | [51] | [ 6] | [45] | [ 6] | [ 6] ${ }^{9}$ | [30] | [ 36] | [47] | [ 30] | [ 6] | [ 83] |
| [Clinical Sciences] ${ }^{7}$ | [82] ${ }^{10}$ | [50] | $[44]^{10}$ | [43] | [36] | [95] ${ }^{10}$ | [36] | [221] | [43] | [119] ${ }^{13}$ | [102] | [ 54] |
| [Allied Health Sciences] ${ }^{8}$ | [ 1] | [64] | [0] | -- | -- | [0] | -- | $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1\end{array}\right]$ | [64] | [ 1] | [ 0] | [100] |
| SUBTOTAL | 534 | 50 | 184 | 39 | 136 | 278 | 34 | 996 | 43 | 672 | 324 | 67 |
| Food Research Institute | 8 | 49 | 2 | 35 | 2 |  | 30 | 13 | 42 | 10 | 3 | 77 |
| Physical Education | 0 | -- | 3 | 55 | 3 | 0 | -- | 3 | 55 | 3 | 0 | 100 |
| Physical Sciences | 1 | 62 | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 62 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Overseas Campuses | 0 | -- | $2^{9}$ | 50 | 2 | 0 | -- | 2 | 50 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| SLAC | $13^{11}$ | 46 | $2^{10}$ | 33 | 2 | $3^{12}$ | 33 | 18 | 42 | 15 | 3 | 83 |
| laiversity total | 556 | 49 | 193 | 39 | 145 | 284 | 33 | 1033 | 43 | 703 | 330 | 68 |

TABLE 34: RANK/AGE/TENURE DISTRIBUTION OF STANFORD PROFESSORIAL FACULTY, 1974-75 (as of $9 / 1 / 74$ ) - Page 2

> Source: Don Gallagher, Academic Planning Office.
Notes:

2. This figure is the mean age, calculated by dividing total years-of-age by total number of faculty, 3. Humanities Area includes: Art, Asian Languages, Classics, Comparative Literature, Drama, English, French and Italian, German Studies, History, Humanities Special Programs, Linguistics, Music, Philosophy, Religious Studies, Slavic Languages, Spanish and Portuguese.
4. Sciences and Math Area includes: Applied Physics, Biological Sciences, Chemistry, Computer Science, 5. Social Sciences Area includes: Anthropology, Communication, Economics, Political Science, Psychology, Sociology. and Physiology.
6. Basic Sciences Area includes: Anatomy, Biochemistry, Genetics, Medical Microbiology, Pharmacology,
7. Clinical Sciences Area includes: Anesthesia, Cardiovascular Surgery, Dermatology, Family, Community, ne, Neurology, Pathology, Pediatrics, Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Radiology, Surgery.
8. Allied Health Sciences include: Nursing, Physical Therapy.
Includes one coterminous appointment.
Includes two coterminous appointments.
Includes eleven coterminous appointments.
Includes three coterminous appointments.
13. Includes one tenured Assistant Professor.
TABLE 35: CHANGES IN MEDICAL SCHOOL FACULTY STATUS, $9 / 2 / 74-9 / 1 / 75$




䒟|

Source: Office of the Medical School Associate Dean for Faculty Affairs.

TABLE 36: COMPARISON OF BEDS AVAILABLE FOR MEDICAL STUDENT TEACHING WITH NATIONAL MODEL

| Beds* | Actual Teaching Beds |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Model** (for 86 students) | Stanford University Hospital (full-time faculty) | S.U.H. (community physicians) \& affiliated hospitals | Total | Deficit (for 86 students) |
| Medicine*** | 413 | 59 | 208 | 267 | 146 |
| Pediatrics | 138 | 22 | 95 | 117 | 21 |
| Surgery | 430 | 93 | 277 | 370 | 60 |
| Total | 981 | 174 | 580 | 754 | 227 |

Source: "Preliminary Report on Stanford University Hospital Expansion (Phase II)" submitted to the University Board of Trustees, June 15, 1973.

Notes: * Community physician beds at Stanford University Hospital adjusted to reflect teaching utilization intensity factor of 0.5 ; beds at Santa Clara Valley Medical Center adjusted by factor of 0.7.
** Based on "Toward a Definition of Department Size: A Study Based on Six Departments in Twenty-Five Medical Schools," by Cheves McC. Smythe, M.D., Journal of Medical Education, Volume 45, September 1970.
*** Including dermatology, neurology, and physical medicine and rehabilitation.


[^0]:    * Compilation of this data has not been undertaken on an annual basis. Some studies were undertaken in 1972-73 (Table 4) and a more complete survey done in 1973-74 (Tables 5 and 6). Comparisons of data for the two years suggest that it is not inappropriate to consider that the trends identified continue to be present.
    ** 1972-73 data; not compiled in 1973-74.

[^1]:    * The term "internship" is being phased out to be replaced by "first-year residency". "Internship" will continue to be used in this report.

[^2]:    * Excluding enrollees in continuing education programs and in hospitalbased allied health training programs, e.g., primary care associates (physicians's assistants).

[^3]:    Laundry
    Mail Services
    Nuclear Hedicine

[^4]:    Hospital Administration (1967-68 -
    
    Source:

[^5]:    Source: Christine Coffey's year-end Academic Council counts, which exclude administrators not holding professorial
    Notes: Dr. Wilson's data on Medical School for 1970-71 to the present differ slightly from those of the Academic

